

S

M

M



Decision Required	
Date:	13 March 2012
File No:	
Report No:	RCN12-03-01

REPORT SUMMARY

Report to: Full Council
Meeting Date: 22 March 2012
Subject: Takaka Aerodrome

Report Author: Jim Frater, Manager Property Services

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

To recommend that the responsibility for the Takaka Aerodrome change from the Engineering Services Committee to the Corporate Services Committee.

RECOMMENDATION/S

That the report be received and that the responsibility for the Takaka Aerodrome transfer from the Engineering Services Committee to the Corporate Services Committee.

DRAFT RESOLUTION

THAT the Tasman District Council receives the Takaka Aerodrome Report RCN12-03-01; and

THAT the responsibility for the Takaka Aerodrome transfer from the Engineering Services Committee to the Corporate Services Committee.



Report No:	RCN12-03-01
File No:	
Report Date:	13 March 2012
Decision Required	

Report to: Full Council
Meeting Date: 22 March 2012
Subject: Takaka Aerodrome

Report Author: Jim Frater, Manager Property Services

1. PURPOSE

1.1 To recommend that the responsibility for the Takaka Aerodrome change from the Engineering Services Committee to the Corporate Services Committee.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1 The Takaka Aerodrome's day to day operations are managed by the Takaka Aerodrome Management Committee. The Committee comprises users who are elected as well as Council representatives. As well as managing the day to day operations, the Committee also operates its own finances and provides an annual set of accounts. This Management Committee has traditionally reported through to the Engineering Services Committee.

3. PRESENT SITUATION / MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED

3.1 The Council will be aware that the responsibility for Motueka Aerodrome is undertaken by Property Services which now reports directly to the Corporate Services Committee. Although the management processes for the two aerodromes are different, there is merit in responsibility for them falling under the same umbrella. This would ensure that any changes that occur with Civil Aviation requirements and the like with one aerodrome are carried through to the other aerodrome.

4. FINANCIAL/BUDGETARY CONSIDERATIONS

4.1 This proposal does not have budgetary considerations.

5. OPTIONS

- 5.1 (Option 1) continue reporting to Engineering Services.
- 5.2 (Option 2) change reporting for Takaka Aerodrome to Corporate Services.



5.3 (Option 3) – consider a change of reporting to a commercial structure or Community Board.

6. PROS AND CONS OF OPTIONS

6.1 The intention of the report is to consider bringing both aerodromes into a similar format even though they are managed differently. The most practical way to achieve this is to have the oversight of aerodromes to report to one committee.

7. EVALUATION OF OPTIONS

- 7.1 (Option 1) continue reporting to Engineering Services. This is the status quo and is not recommended as the opportunities for ensuring standards are maintained for both aerodromes is best managed if they report to a single committee.
- 7.2 (Option 2) approve a change in reporting to Corporate Services Committee. This seems the logical approach as other Council Enterprises reports, including Motueka Aerodrome, report directly to Corporate Services.
- 7.3 (Option 3) there do not appear to be any practical advantages with this option at present.

8. SIGNIFICANCE

The subject of this report is not significant under the Council's policy on significance.

9. RECOMMENDATION/S

That the report be received and that the responsibility for the Takaka Aerodrome transfer from the Engineering Services Committee to the Corporate Services Committee.

10. DRAFT RESOLUTION

THAT the Tasman District Council receives the Takaka Aerodrome Report RCN12-03-01; and

THAT the responsibility for the Takaka Aerodrome transfer from the Engineering Services Committee to the Corporate Services Committee.

J K Frater Manager Property Services