

MINUTES

TITLE: Engineering Services Committee
DATE: Thursday 8 June 2006
TIME: 9.30 am
VENUE: Tasman Council Chambers, 189 Queen Street, Richmond
PRESENT: Cr T E Norriss (Chair), Mayor J C Hurley, Crs S G Bryant, T B King, S J Borlase, R G Currie, E E Henry, N Riley, M J Higgins, J L Inglis, R G Kempthorne, E M O'Regan, P K O'Shea, E J Wilkins
IN ATTENDANCE: Engineering Manager (P W Thomson), Transportation Manager (R Ashworth), Utilities Manager (J Cuthbertson), Minute Secretary (V M Gribble)

APOLOGIES

Moved Crs Bryant/Currie
WK06/06/01

THAT an apology from Crs E J Wilkins, T B King and Mayor J C Hurley for lateness and from Motueka Community Board Chairman, A C Fry for absence, be sustained.

CARRIED

1 PUBLIC FORUM

1.1 Mr S Jones on behalf of Higgins Road Residents

D Martin, Y Tye and P Hanna were in attendance to support Mr Jones.

Mr Jones on behalf of Higgins Road residents, spoke about the speed limit on Higgins Road and Higgins Road/Telenius Road intersection. He tabled a petition from residents requesting the Committee reconsider the recommendation in the report and reduce the speed limit on the road.

1.2 D Martin

Mr Martin said the speed limit of 100 kph on Higgins Road is too fast and the Telenius Bridge is narrow and hard to see. He said there are a lot of pedestrians, cyclists and horse riders that use the road and suggested a cycleway could be made along the road.

1.3 D Gallagher

Mr Gallagher spoke about the wastewater overflows on his property in Mapua during flooding two weeks ago.

Cr Wilkins arrived at 9.48 am.

2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

2.1 Engineering Services Committee – 27 April 2006

Cr O'Regan said it is important that minutes taken in committee and confirmed in open meeting are written in the open minutes.

**Moved Crs Riley/Inglis
WK06/06/02**

**THAT the minutes of the Engineering Services Committee held on 27 April 2006, containing resolutions numbered WK06/04/01 to WK06/04/21 be confirmed as a true and complete record of the proceedings of that meeting.
CARRIED**

3 CHAIRMAN'S REPORT – JUNE 2006

Cr Norriss referred to a letter from Fulton Hogan regarding gravel issues.

Cr Higgins showed some rock that has found to be a good product that takes the weight of log trucks that is available from Pinnacle Lime Quarries. He suggested it would be worth looking at using this type of rock to build the Ruby Bay seawall. It can be delivered for \$28.12 per cubic metre plus GST. He said marram grass can be mixed with it, it packs down very hard and doesn't break free. He asked the Engineering Manager to consider the option and advise Council if it is worth pursuing.

Mayor Hurley arrived at 10.03 am.

**Moved Crs Higgins/Kempthorne
WK06/06/03**

**THAT the Chairman's Report for June 2006 be received.
CARRIED**

4 UTILITIES

4.1 Utilities Management Report

Negotiations are continuing with the developer of the Brightwater subdivision to secure an appropriate site for the additional Brightwater reservoir.

Mr Dickinson arrived at 10.05 am.

Drilling investigations are about to commence in Barton Lane, Spring Grove to determine the quantity and quality of water available to augment the Wakefield water supply.

Cr Currie asked if it would be possible to use crushed glass for putting on icy roads. Cr Kempthorne said it makes sense that the glass is being crushed and used, even though there is no ready market for it.

Mr Cuthbertson said it cost several thousand dollars to crush the glass and it is cheaper to buy crushed metal than crushed glass. He doesn't believe there is a market for it.

Mayor Hurley said it should be reduced to pea metal size and put in the landfill. It's not worth the cost to do anything with it.

Cr O'Regan said Council should be supporting LGNZ with issues they have raised with the Drinking Water Standards.

Mr Thomson said we don't have sufficient information to have a meaningful debate. Once the Bill is in its final form and introduced to Parliament we will be in a better position to discuss it.

**Moved Crs Henry/Kempthorne
WK06/06/04**

**THAT the Utilities Management Report dated 31 May 2006 be received.
CARRIED**

5 STREET SMART LIMITED – PRESENTATION

Grahame Christian, Managing Director, Stephen Hand, General Manager, Garth Marshall, Local Regional Manager in Tasman, and Cliff Olsen, General Manager Street Smart's Parks and Reserves Division in Auckland were in attendance for discussions with the Engineering Services Committee.

Cr King arrived at 11.12 am.

Mr Christian said the recycling industry and resource recovery industry are on an upward climb in terms of maturity cycle. The team gave a powerpoint presentation and Mr Christian told the story of the life of a recycled coke bottle.

Street Smart offered to fund new weighbridges at Richmond and Mariri now and recover the costs over the rest of the period of the contract.

Cr Norriss said we continually hear about the cost of recycling. Council has received a large number of submissions to its draft 2006/2007 LTCCP requesting that the service be fortnightly and looking at reducing the cost of the service.

Mr Christian said his first response would be that there wouldn't be a price reduction because of the volume issue. If Council wanted it collected fortnightly, there would probably have to be a change to collection days and the same resources would be required. The weekly collection in Motueka requires two trucks, if it was collected fortnightly they would need four trucks.

Cr Inglis suggested there should be one bin each for glass, plastic, paper.

Mr Christian said the first issue would be the capital cost with each bin being \$11 or \$12.

Mr Hand said it would depend how reliable householders were in splitting the products.

Cr Norriss asked if the same system was used in other areas.

Mr Christian said the service is varied as we don't have a huge daily paper here, and while in Remuera the white glass volume is huge, in Otara, green and brown glass is huge.

Cr Norriss said people not on the recycling/refuse routes have the ability to take recyclables to the resource recovery parks free of charge.

Cr Borlase enjoyed the life cycle of the coke bottle and said it seems a pity it has to go overseas to have value-added and wondered if there was the likelihood of doing the same thing in New Zealand.

Mr Christian said milk bottle material will go back into recycling and the raw material would potentially have come from Invercargill or Papakura. Coke bottles have a high melting point and is not something we've undertaken.

Cr Henry asked how wet newspapers are processed.

Mr Christian said it does dry off, and is packed in bales, much like wet hay.

Cr Henry asked if plastic bags will be included when Street Smart start processing plastics numbered 1 to 7.

Mr Christian said they do fall into 1 to 7 but are not economically effective.

Cr Henry said having to tie newspapers in one bundle and cardboard into another is inconvenient and asked if there is any chance that it can be put in plastic bags.

Mr Marshall said the string system doesn't present us with a challenge but plastic bags do. We realise it presents a challenge to the householder.

Cr Henry said we've had several requests put to Council regarding the size of the bins as elderly people find them too big to manage and so they forget about recycling and put it into a wheelie bin.

Mr Hand said the bins used are 55 litre bins. We would be able to arrange 45 litre bins to Council, the only thing would be an economic run to make it worthwhile.

Cr Currie said glass is not being manufactured in NZ. As oil gets dearer there will be more competition. He asked what percentage of plastic recyclables would milk bottles make.

Mr Christian said milk bottles would be about 40%.

Cr Currie said a lot of junk mail goes straight into rubbish bins. He said he had noticed there didn't seem to be many people on a particular route putting bins out.

Mr Marshall said there are areas where householders choose to participate weekly or fortnightly. We as service provider cannot make the assumption that householders will not be presenting that week and make the conscious decision to miss them.

Cr Kempthorne asked if it is more efficient and economic for you to sort at kerbside or bundle the whole lot in the bin and sort at the station.

Mr Christian said it is more effective to process kerbside, because of sorting of end markets, you get contamination, and don't want to devalue the product.

Cr Kempthorne asked about paper being left because it was in a plastic bag, then left next time, although it was tied, because it got wet.

Cr Higgins raised the matter of tetrapac milk bottles and asked if there was an option for it to be baled and put through a burner.

Mr Christian said the milk bottles are made with tetrapac with wax finish and they can't be put through the paper processor. There is always an option of burning things, but transportation costs would be involved.

Cr Norriss said the cartons should be changed so they are usable.

Mayor Hurley welcomed Mr Christian and his team and said it has been helpful to have a session face to face. The initiative of installing weighbridges he believes is the next essential step. It was interesting to note the Company's diversity and said it is very encouraging. He was concerned that there is only about 2,000 tonnes collected and said we need to do better and would like to see that amount grown. The bottom line consideration is the cost of all this. If at the end of the day it becomes too costly to the end users it leads to fly dumping and burning. Those are issues that are a consequence of costs. He said can recycling is totally automated overseas, where there are repayable bounties on them, but it is a state operation and it can be done.

Mr Hand said with the Green Party's waste minimisation bill initiatives there will be a chance to make submissions to government over the next six months. We've said we're not happy about the packaging accord.

Cr Norriss thanked Street Smart for their time and said Council is keen to keep in touch and work with them and said Council is keen to reduce the amount of money we need to put into refuse.

4 UTILITIES (continued)

4.2 Waste Education Services – Work with Industrial/Commercial Sector

The purpose of the report was to advise the Engineering Services Committee of current and proposed activities of Waste Education Services with the commercial and industrial sectors.

**Moved Crs Henry/Borlase
WK06/06/05**

THAT the Waste Education Services – Work with Industrial/Commercial Sector report be received.

CARRIED

4.3 Proposed National Waste Levy

The purpose of this report was to inform the Engineering Services Committee of a proposed national waste levy.

Mr Thomson said the proposed levy would create a clear source of revenue for some of the activities we already participate in and fund out of general rate, at least in part. A lot of the detail is very early level. If the levy was collected, it would come back to the local authority on a population basis.

Mayor Hurley read a letter received from the Mayor of Rotorua which said they are in opposition to the levy in the format proposed. Mayor Hurley recommended the matter be sent back to Council for consideration.

Cr O'Regan said he was very suspicious about the figures stated in the report and urged caution.

Cr Borlase concurred with Cr O'Regan and considers it another line of levies and fees. He doesn't think this will encourage people to put waste into the system.

Cr King said it is a good idea to have waste levies, but struggles to see how it works.

Cr Norriss said he is also very suspicious about the way it works.

Cr Currie said he doesn't like our chances of getting money back from central government and he sees this as another targeted rate. He asked Mayor Hurley to protest very loudly at the local government meeting.

Mr Thomson said the proposal reflects the view of local government and waste recyclers. It was convened by the government at Local Government New Zealand's request because the High Court has found that Christchurch City Council's waste bylaw was ultra vires, so councils are in a position of not being able to impose a waste levy so they're looking for another solution. There will be vigorous debate at LGNZ level. This council is encumbered with a large contribution from general rate.

**Moved Mayor Hurley/Cr Inglis
WK06/06/06**

THAT the Proposed National Waste Levy issue be referred to full Council, pending further information.

CARRIED

4.4 Zero Waste Funding Round – April 2006

The report summarised the applications received by Council in the April 2006 Zero Waste Funding round and the funds approved. Application enquiries and initial review was conducted by Waste Education Services as part of their current contract with Council.

**Moved Crs O'Shea/Henry
WK06/06/07**

THAT the following Zero Waste Grants be approved:

**Bagz Skipz and More Ltd - \$500
Golden Bay Community Organic Gardens - \$1,550
Kahurangi Employment Trust - \$2,000
Workcentre Waste Solutions Trust - \$5,350
CARRIED**

6 TRANSPORTATION

6.1 Transportation Asset Management Report

Mr Ashworth was present for this part of the meeting.

The agenda contained a copy of the Land Transport New Zealand Procedural Audit Report undertaken in March this year.

A short presentation to explain the content of the Riwaka Stopbank Public Consultation brochure was given by MWH NZ.

**Moved Crs Norriss/Currie
WK06/06/08**

**THAT the Transportation Asset Management Report be received.
CARRIED**

7 RESOLUTION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

**Moved Crs Currie/King
WK06/06/09**

THAT the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

Transit New Zealand – North Nelson to Brightwater Corridor Study

The general subject of the matters to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to the matter, and

the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for passing this resolution are as follows:

Subject	Reasons	Grounds
Transit New Zealand Presentation – North Nelson to Brightwater Corridor Study	Consideration of report	To enable the Committee to carry out discussions without prejudice or disadvantage

CARRIED

(Refer to Confidential Minute Book resolution number WK06/06/10).

**Moved Crs O’Regan/Bryant
WK06/06/11**

THAT public meeting be resumed and the business transacted while the public was excluded be confirmed in open meeting.

CARRIED

**Moved Crs Borlase/King
WK06/06/10**

THAT Tasman District Council supports Option 1 only of the Preferred Corridor Strategy to be released for public consultation.

CARRIED

8 ROAD SAFETY CO-ORDINATOR

K Barr, Road Safety Co-ordinator, was in attendance and gave a powerpoint presentation review of work and plans for the current year.

Cr Kempthorne arrived at the meeting at 2.54 pm.

Cr King said he was involved with the stopping distances project and congratulated Kirsty on the work she is doing.

Cr Bryant also congratulated Kirsty on the work she is doing.

**Moved Crs King/Bryant
WK06/06/12**

THAT the Road Safety Co-ordinator’s report be received.

CARRIED

9 RIWAKA RIVER STOPBANK CONSULTATION DOCUMENT

R Firth, MWH NZ Ltd was in attendance and gave a powerpoint presentation on the subject.

The draft consultation document was contained in the agenda.

Cr King said it should be indicated fairly that the adjacent landowners will be required to pay for the work.

Mr Drummond needs to define what the community of interest is, is it those protected by the stopbanks, or are we talking about a wider area. If we promote a project that has even protection for all the people in the area to the extent of a 5% AEP, 20 year flood, are you just talking about the people close, because once you get to the point of a flood greater than that, they are not protecting anyone.

Cr King said the only time you get protection is up to a 20 year flood. If it falls apart you can't expect everyone to pay.

Cr Henry left the meeting at 3.20 pm.

**Moved Crs Inglis/Norriss
WK06/06/13**

**THAT the Riwaka River Stopbank Consultation document, with amendments proposed on costing, be released for public consultation.
CARRIED**

**10 TRANSIT NZ SPEED LIMIT PROPOSAL – SH 60 BETWEEN
MOTUEKA AND RIWAKA**

The report recommended that Engineering Services Committee supports Transit New Zealand's proposal to lower the current 100 kph speed limit on State Highway 60 between Motueka and Riwaka to 80 kph.

Cr King said if it meets the warrant I will support it, if it doesn't, everyone will want speed limits reduced.

S Elkington said the intersection at Umukuri to Riwaka is only a very short distance so people who use that route will use it anyway. Referring to Swamp Road there is a crash history.

**Moved O'Regan/Wilkins
WK06/06/14**

**THAT the Engineering Services Committee support Transit New Zealand's proposal to lower the speed limit of SH 60 between Motueka and Riwaka to 80 kph.
CARRIED**

11 SPEED LIMIT REVIEW MOTUEKA AND SURROUNDING AREAS.

The report recommended changes to a number of speed limits on various roads in the Motueka, Brooklyn, Riwaka, Mapua, Ruby Bay and Marahau areas.

Cr Norriss asked if the Umukuri and Swamp Roads fit the warrant.

Mr Elkington said they don't meet the warrant, however, crash history can legitimately be considered when determining speed restrictions.

Cr O'Shea said Umukuri/Swamp Road is used as a speed detour and it creates some problems. It's not a great road for a speedway.

Cr Inglis said Swamp Road is a speedway and is narrow and rough, with lots of accidents.

Cr Norriss said if the roads don't meet the warrant we will open ourselves up right across the district.

Mr Ashworth said this is a direct request from the Motueka Community Board and there are also lots of requests from Golden Bay Community Board.

Cr Norriss is happy to go to the Community Boards and say why we can't support the Umukuri/Swamp Road. It is for the same reason we've had to give around the district.

Mr Thomson asked the Committee to focus on Umukuri/Swamp Road and focus on the professional advice you've been given in relation to safety and speed. At the end of the day Council needs to be making the right decision in relation to the changes to the speed on the state highway.

Cr O'Regan said we've got to be practical and have some commonsense and thanked Mr Elkington for the recommendation made. Anyone who travels down Swamp Road at 100 kph is crazy. He said the advice given by Mr Thomson is very sound.

Cr King asked if the warrant system isn't designed to take into account all the things Mr Thomson mentioned. The purpose is to assess the safety environment and make an objective recommendation. Now Mr Thomson is suggesting by following the warrant and ignoring the recommendation we'd be neglecting the issue of safety. Can't understand how that can be if the warrant system does what it should. He said the warrant system recommends 100 kph.

Crs Riley and Bryant left the meeting at 3.45 pm.

Mr Elkington said the speed limit rule allows, if the warrant fails, you can look at crash rate, etc. In terms of a precedent, the reason we set the lower speed limit is purely because of the crash rate.

Cr Wilkins said there would be more than Motueka Community Board advocating that road to be 80 kph and if it stays at 100 kph Swamp Road should be prioritised for improvement.

Cr Kempthorne said we should all be driving to the conditions. The precedence we're setting is highly significant and there better be good crash statistics to support it.

Cr Borlase agreed we can't cause a precedent here.

Mr Thomson referred Council back to dealing with an issue in Paton Road area where we wanted speed restrictions on the switch banks. The speed limits eventually adopted weren't supported by the warrant but it listened to the community and used judgement. We were concerned about precedent issues, but they didn't eventuate. There has been enforcement to encourage people to stick to the speed limit along Paton Road and it has been a success. There is room for exercising judgement and better to do that and defend it than hide behind the national standard.

Cr Norriss said there is a road off the corner called River Road and we've had a petition from people living there to reduce it to 50 kph and we haven't been able to lower it because it doesn't fit the warrant.

Cr O'Shea said we now have the ability to react to community requests. We have the opportunity to use our judgement on individual cases.

**Moved Crs O'Regan/Inglis
WK06/06/15**

THAT the Engineering Services Committee support the following recommended changes to Council's Speed Limit Bylaw for Speed Limits in the following areas, subject to these changes going to public consultation.

Location or Road Name	Existing Speed Limit kph	Proposed Speed Limit kph	Recommendation
Motueka			
Hursthouse Street	100	70	Extend from Queen Victoria Street to Chamberlain Street
College Street	100	70	Extend the existing 70kph Speed Limit to a point 50metres South of Chamberlain Street Intersection.
Whakarewa Street	50	80	From Queen Victoria Street to Pamarika Street revoke the existing 50kph Speed Limit.
Whakarewa Street	100	80	Extend from Queen Victoria Street to the road end.
Douglas Road	100	80	Extend from Whakarewa Street to the road end
Riwaka/Brooklyn			
Dehra Doon Road	100	80	Extend from Main Road Riwaka SH60 to the road end
Mickell Road	70	50	From Motueka River West Bank Road to the road end.
Linden Place	70	50	From Mickell Road to the road end
Umukuri Road	100	80	From Main Road Riwaka SH60 to a point at Chainage 2050metres,

Location or Road Name	Existing Speed Limit kph	Proposed Speed Limit kph	Recommendation
			South of Little Sydney Road Intersection
Swamp Road	100	80	From Main Road Riwaka SH60 to Umukuri Road
Mapua/Ruby Bay			
Pomona Road	100	50	From Stafford Drive SH60 Intersection to a point at Chainage 2065metres
Korepo Road	100	50	From Pomona Road to the road end
Crusader Drive	100	50	From Pomona Road to the road end
Joseph Senior Way	100	50	From Crusader Drive to the road end
Warren Place	70	50	From Stafford Drive SH60 to the road end
Aranui Road	70	50	The Urban Traffic Area for Mapua be extended along Aranui Road in northerly direction to a point 40 metres from the Stafford Drive SH 60 Intersection.
Marahau			
Marahau Sandy Bay Road	60	30	Extend in a north westerly direction along Marahau Sandy Bay Road to a point measured 565metres from the intersection of Franklyn Street

CARRIED

- 12 SPEED LIMIT REVIEWS:**
- LORD RUTHERFORD ROAD SOUTH
- HIGGINS ROAD
- BIRD ROAD

Mr Thomson said the petition tabled this morning contained 115 signatures including the school bus driver and the petition requests the speed limit be reduced.

Mr Elkington said we are working to the warrant. The crash history on Higgins Road is not high.

Cr King said we received a petition at the public forum this morning requesting that the speed limit be reduced on Higgins Road.

Cr O'Regan said in light of the presentation this morning, when the assessment was done on Higgins Road were you aware the subdivision was taking place and they

have to take a side off the bridge, but between Telenius Road corner and the bridge there will be an intersection where three lots adjoin the road.

Mr Elkington said he considered the section of Lord Rutherford Road South, but you need to also look at Higgins Road and Bird Road.

Cr King left the meeting at 4.10 pm.

Mr Dickinson said the purpose of the warrant is to try and introduce objectivity into the exercise because it is always feasible to get locals to sign a petition. There is also a wider public good in keeping traffic moving. Roads are primarily designed to move traffic.

Cr Norriss said Umukuri and Swamp Road did not meet the warrant but the recommendation is to lower it. He said in light of the submission we had this morning and noting that information about the bridge and recent subdivision wasn't taken into account he asked for a review.

**Moved Crs O'Regan/Kempthorne
WK06/06/16**

THAT the speed limits of Lord Rutherford Road South, Higgins Road and Bird Road be reviewed in light of information received at the public forum and reported back to the next Committee meeting.

CARRIED

**13 SEALING RATE REVIEW – COUNCIL'S SEALING LOW
TRAFFICKED ROADS POLICY**

**Moved Crs Kempthorne/Inglis
WK06/06/17**

THAT the Engineering Services Committee adopt the new sealing rate of \$32 per m² (excluding GST) thereby replacing existing rate of \$16 per m² in its low trafficked road sealing policy.

CARRIED

14 COST FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT IMPLICATIONS

The report updated the Committee on cost fluctuations with respect to roading maintenance contracts and forecasting implications for the 2006/2007 financial year.

**Moved Crs Norriss/Inglis
WK06/06/18**

**THAT the Cost Fluctuation Adjustment Implications report be received.
CARRIED**

The report summarised the cost to complete the capital project and review the capital funding options available to Council in light of submissions from the Wai-iti Water Augmentation Committee.

Cr Norriss said Cr Higgins asked should it be a residual debt on the project (\$830,000 loan). In project completion figures for years 21 to 30 where the target rate is \$315, he suggested it may be \$350 to pay off \$830,000 residual.

Mr Thomson said Cr Higgins has suggested that the residual debt be met over a longer period of time by the irrigators.

Cr Kempthorne asked the justification for the \$700,000 as well as being community good, Council was keeping 100 ha worth of irrigation.

Mr Thomson said there was environmental benefit of the scheme. There is an acceptance there will be an allocation of the scheme for environmental benefit that won't be an allocated resource. Any other amount that Council wants to reserve for likes of urban water, the Council will contribute through the same process as the irrigators. There had been discussion in 2002/2003 with irrigators about level of affordability and it got up to \$270 per hectare.

Cr Kempthorne said he had a problem increasing from \$700,000 to \$830,000 but I can see irrigators will have a problem.

Cr Inglis is disappointed with yet another \$500,000 run over. Somewhere along the line someone has to pay for it. They never got water last year and didn't pay rates.

Mr Thomson said the Engineering department generally delivers on budget, on time. Once a project is committed we tend to get it right. A lot of the 11% increase in two years is a result of the difficult circumstances contractually. The mounting loan interest charges is on the back of Council not wanting to rate irrigators for a service they're not yet receiving.

Cr O'Regan said this hasn't come as a surprise. He said the designers rectified recent problems, but the extra interest of \$200,000 has accrued because of the overall delay, is there any probability of recovering that from the contractor.

Cr Norriss said Cr Higgins had talked to Evan Baigent about the \$350 future rate.

Cr Kempthorne said with the community paying the \$830,000 we're charging them for the environmental benefit.

Mr Dickinson said if Council was an ordinary irrigator and signed up for 100 ha, it would pay on those figures \$28,000 per annum, which wouldn't service the loan of \$700,000. It would service about half the loan.

Cr Kempthorne said we need to do some work on the value of the community content.

Mr Thomson said the recommendations are to cover the whole funding issues. The totals need to add up to the total cost of the project. If you decrease b) you need an increase in figure a). Council may not want to increase its funding share from \$700,000 to \$830,000.

Cr Currie didn't agree with having the loan over a 30 year period.

Moved Crs O'Regan/Kempthorne

WK06/06/19

THAT the Engineering Services Committee recommend to Council that:

- a) **Council raise a loan of \$2.325 million over a 30-year period for the purposes of funding the Wai-iti Valley Community Dam. This loan to be repaid by the users of the scheme through the Wai-iti Valley Community Dam target rate.**
- b) **Council approve an \$830,000 loan over a 20-year period to fund the Wai-iti Valley Community Dam. This loan represents Council's contribution to the project from general funds.**
- c) **Council adopt a target rate of \$280 (including GST) per hectare in 2006/2007, for all water permits issued within the Wai-iti Dam Service Zone.**

CARRIED

16 RECOMMENDATION TO EXCLUDE THE PUBLIC

Moved Crs Borlase/Kempthorne

WK06/06/20

THAT the public be excluded from the following part of the proceedings of this meeting, namely:

Takaka – Motueka Firewells

The general subject of the matters to be considered while the public is excluded, the reason for passing this resolution in relation to the matter, and the specific grounds under Section 48(1) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 for passing this resolution are as follows:

Subject	Reasons	Grounds
Takaka-Motueka Firewells	Consideration of report	To enable the Committee to carry out discussions without prejudice or disadvantage

CARRIED

(Refer to Confidential Minute Book, resolution number WK06/06/21).

Moved Crs O'Regan/Inglis

WK06/06/22

**THAT public meeting be resumed and the business transacted while the public was excluded be confirmed in open meeting.
CARRIED**

**Moved Crs Kempthorne/O'Shea
WK06/06/21**

THAT the Engineering Services Committee approve the funding to undertake the feasibility report to:

- a) Establish a pressurised reticulation system around the Takaka Commercial Business District, including estimated costs and methods of payment for such a reticulation network.**
- b) Undertake pipework linkages between the existing pressurised reticulation extremities in Motueka including costs and methods of payment.**
- c) Report back to the Engineering Services Committee by October 2006 with a proposal to resolve the fire-fighting issues in the commercial areas of Takaka and Motueka that satisfies both Council and the New Zealand Fire Service needs.**

CARRIED

The meeting concluded at 5.25 pm.

Chair:

Date: