

Ideas about Moutere-Waimea Ward reserves (April 2020)

Contents

- Accessways / linkages 2
- Ecological restoration ideas 5
- Freedom camping 11
- Grossi Point Reserve 12
- Mapua Waterfront Park 13
- Reserve classification / Ecological restoration ideas..... 18
- Sport / recreation..... 20
- Tell us your ideas and suggestions for inclusion in a draft Reserve Management Plan 21
- Thank you..... 27
- Wakefield Recreation Reserve 28

Note – Additional ideas collected during this initial consultation round are available on our website in two separate documents:

- (1) Longer submissions (referred to as “See attachment” in tables below) have been collated into a single document, ordered by submitter number.
- (2) Responses to our online survey about Moutere/Waimea Ward reserves.

ID	Submitter	Summary
Accessways / linkages		
21532	Mr Wayne Chisnall	<p>I am the MDCA Exec member that looks after Roads and footpaths in the Mapua area and I note that you are attending our meeting on the 8th March seeking ideas for Moutere-Waimea reserves.</p> <p>The Mapua Domain through to the Morely Drain is a reserve area and serves a number of community entities. The access RoW on the north side of the Domain serves the Mapua Bowling Club, Tamaha Sea Scouts, Mapua Play School, sporting groups using the Domain and users of the new pathway through the Mapua Inlets subdivision. It is a dusty gravel lane prone to recurring potholes and the gravel parking area sometimes has up to 90 cars parked there.</p> <p>I talked to Richard Hollier about having it sealed and he said that it would have to go on the TDC Capital Programme. However, because it is a reserve area I thought that we should register the need for upgraded access to you.</p> <p>Can you please advise if this request can be considered as part of the Reserve review?</p>
21710	Dave Macdonald	<p>We have researched map 21 of the reserves plans. There are a number of public walkways through the Appleby Hills Development off Ridgeview Road and linking to Maiseys Road. These are not shown on plan 21. Access to/ from Greenacres road to the walkways is via private land. There is an unformed road reserve linking Appleby Hills to Greenacres Road. Suggestions - form a public access to/ from Greenacres road to provide greater linkages for the walkways. Work with the community to landscape, provide furniture , enhance the experience and carry out regular maintenance of the walkways, their drainage and manage the intrusion of weeds etc .</p>
22885	Mr Peter PATERSON	<p>I would like to see Mapua's reserve spaces joined together in a walkway. Aranui Rd Langford Drive walkway eventually needs to be extended all the way to Bronte in one direction and Ruby Bay in the other. The Langford Drive walkway needs to be connected to Tahi St behind Gebhard house and run to Grossi Point. Langford Drive walkway is poorly maintained and overgrown with weeds at the Aranui Rd end.</p>
22885	Mr Peter PATERSON	<p>Dominion Flats Reserve pathway is too dangerous for cyclists. Poorly formed and poorly maintained. Has led to cyclists using the intersection rather than the underpass.</p>
22885	Mr Peter PATERSON	<p>The sports field behind the hall needs to be designated as a slightly smaller area which would allow the walking of dogs in this space around the perimeter.</p>
22912	Mr John Fountain	<p>4) Traffic and parks and Reserves need to be co-managed. One remarkable positive side of the lockdown over Covid-19 is the experience of a largely automobile free experience in this area - essentially only residents from Higgs and Aranui to the south and east using their cars, and with lockdown there are only few of those. Take the opportunity to create a small area where people have to walk to gain access - perhaps by creating a one way system from Toru along Iwa and back along aranui, with resident only street side parking. Well imagine it first - then ask, how could we approximate that? create that large "walkable village" type atmosphere? Yes that is radical and the TDC traffic engineers will have to thin laterally - but also be coordinated with the Parks and reserves staff - build us a "walkable village" where pedestrians rule, not the automobile.</p>

22912 Mr John Fountain

2) Please, let's try to create an interconnected network of pathways - from Ngaio at the Wharf through Waterfront Park to Grossi Point and across to Langford Drive, and back into the village, accessible to all. I am sure local businesses throughout Tasman, and individuals, would contribute towards the development of such a network . Perhaps also the DHB and the Mapua Health Center - working with their "green prescriptions" service and advice. Our population is aging and associated with that are health and mobility issues - that can be addressed in part by a plan for regular activity and exercise - in an inviting environment. But we need to build the infrastructure. I have outlined some ideas below - but my general point is to focus on the health and well being of those with mobility difficulties, and health problems - all of us eventually, and many of us now.

2. a) a truly pedestrian focussed network all weather surfaces , safe for all kinds of prosthetic and other forms of disability aids - smooth, flat, wide enough for 2 way and side by side foot traffic) , disability and child friendly , pathways and interconnections between Mapua's 6 Reserves- see diagram below.

Comment: The disability and child friendly access from Wharf to WF park is excellent in places but also unsuitable for those with walkers, canes or wheelchairs - and exposed to the automobile traffic at the turnaround point in front of the Appleshed. As Andrew from Kiwi Journeys testified at the hearings in 2017 this particular area is an accident waiting to happen - or rather a set of accidents waiting to happen. And people with mobility impairments know , and fear this. Having a direct smooth automobile free segment from the Wharf concrete through to the promenade itself, in front of the Appleshed, would do a lot to alleviate those fears and open up Waterfront Park to more access by those with impairments.

There is no safe disability friendly access to the foreshore at the South end of WF Park. Why not - in fact the surface of the existing pathway to the foreshore at the south end of Waterfront Park could be made wheel chair friendly - so those in wheelchairs or with other mobility impairments could actually access the water and beach (with help) at either high or low tides. As it is, only those with two good feet can do this.

22912 Mr John Fountain

2. b) There is a pathway along the South-western boundary of WF park from the promenade to the SE side parking lot at Aranui road but it is far too narrow , and overgrown, and surface is unsuitable and unsafe for those with walkers, canes, mobility scooters , or just moms dads with kids in prams . For example there is no comfortable way to walk side by side with a friend in a wheelchair, or with a walker, or with very young tots, along this portion of the pathway. Why not widen it, and improve the surface, allowing safe disability friendly access down this side of Waterfront Park.? Of course, then, at the parking area, the pathway back towards the toilet block has to (and should be) improved.

But, then where to? There is no safe access down to Grossi Point for anyone (tots, kids, adults seniors) especially those with any sort of mobility and vulnerability (vulnerability). Why not a decent wide pedestrian sidewalk down Tahiti street on at least one side, and then carried into Grossi point? And through to the foreshore of Grossi point. Grossi point, safely enough, protected from automobile traffic, to a raised pad , bench, with seating for friends, and wheel chair, just to be able to enjoy tranquility and views (see Area B on the map). I have marked this as area B on the map below. In fact no disabled person could navigate their way into Grossi Point foreshore, anywhere, without a lot of fear and trepidation. Why do we cater for cars so much at Grossi when pedestrians, especially vulnerable pedestrians, matter. It doesn't have to be either or, but as it stands now it's all about cars there - and to some extent boats.

22912 Mr John Fountain

2. c) A similar good quality pedestrian pathway from Tahī street carpark at South end of Waterfront Park, where the carpark is, needs perhaps a pedestrian zebra crossing, to West side of Tahī street, then a good pathway along the land banked, remediated land right out to the Langford drive reserve. I think you have that marked as Tahī esplanade.? But its not all about walking, but relaxing and resting and enjoying the views . So for example, just before the gully across to Langford, marked A on the graphic, include a resting viewing seating area, perhaps with shade trees (in elevated planting cubes of a similar design to those in the Wharf area? , maybe also a picnic table - then include a wooden footbridge (again, disability and child friendly) across the gully, with a decent pathway up to Langford Drive, and back into the village. A the Great Taste trail has done, some simple signage could point people in the right directions ?

Ecological restoration ideas

21487 Mr nick wiffen	The reserves need to be connected in order to create a wildlife corridor. I would like to see them become havens for native wildlife, both fauna and flora.
21493 Mr Ian Price	I will comment on just three reserves ,one of which I am actively involved in its ecological management, another, I am leading a major ecological restoration on the Reserves Boundary, and the other is connected by way of corridor with one of the Reserves and the ecological restoration being undertaken on private land.
21493 Mr Ian Price	<p>Pearl Creek and Cotterell Road Reserves</p> <p>The recent purchase by TDC of land adjoining what is known as Pearl Creek is one of the most exciting possibilities as regards enhancing a potential unique ecology as it is now under public ownership and offers scale which no other reserve on the Waimea Inlet offers. Due to climate change it offers a unique opportunity for ecological retreat, significant work has been undertaken by a number of community groups in the QE 2 Covenanted Pearl creek which would connect with any future ecological restoration of the purchased land giving greater scale.</p> <p>☒ I am informed there may be some issues as regards clarity as to title and Reserve designation status, if this is correct, it is imperative the legal status is clarified urgently by way of single title and a Reserve management status giving absolute protection to present and future ecological values.</p> <p>☒ This potential Reserves Management plan MUST give priority to ecological restoration and protection with public recreation as a secondary activity. Presently the coastal cycle way passes through this land with existing rights, this will remain. I am aware Fish and Game are keen to have this land available as a game bird hunting area. This must be opposed for two reasons, it would unduly disrupt indigenous habitat and fauna, namely the shooting of native and introduce wildfowl and secondly with the cycle way now established, past intrusive activities like duck shooting from a HSE perspective let alone an ecological perspective must be cause for this land being made unavailable for such activity.</p> <p>☒ In a recent community commentary it was noted about this Land “We consider that this area has potential to become a flagship example of national significance, showcasing best practice for carbon sequestration, for the protection of ecological values of intertidal wetlands in the face of sea level rise, for offsetting the adverse ecological effects of riparian development around the urban parts of the Inlet’s shoreline, and for the consequent protection of the health, functioning and natural productivity of the entire Inlet”, a succinct summation of the ecological value and importance of this public land and reason for it being given the highest ecological protection when being given statutory Reserve designation.</p>
21493 Mr Ian Price	<p>Pearl Creek and Cotterell Road Reserves</p> <p>This area of land recently purchased by TDC will be the litmus test for council in regards their acknowledgment of climate change and their commitment to making real attempts to remedy the future negative implications from such climate change by giving this land ecological protection and enhancement by way of robust legislative Reserve protection status.</p>
21493 Mr Ian Price	<p>Research Orchard Rd and Research Orchard Rd Esplanade Reserve (1)</p> <p>Second to Pearl Creek Reserve ecologically speaking is Research Orchard Rd Reserves which have some of the highest ecological values of all Reserves in the Waimea Inlet due to their direct connection to the Estuary and in the face of climate change and</p>

potential sea level rise the ability for ecological retreat due to public ownership and relatively easy contour of land away from the Estuary. Over a number of years community groups in conjunction with Council have undertaken some ecological restoration, however due to a lack of consistent ongoing management these plantings have struggled.

Significant areas of Research Orchard Rd Reserve have been left as open space and regularly mowed as a means of grass control; this management philosophy will mitigate any ability for natural ecological retreat in the face of climate change and sea level rise.

In front of Research Orchard Rd Esplanade Reserve is a 6HA privately owned property, where, in conjunction with Forest and Bird, an ecological restoration is being undertaken over the next three years close on 35,000 native plants will be planted which will complement and tie in with both of the Research Orchard Rd Reserves. This significant investment comes at no cost to Tasman Ratepayers.

The owner of the property on the southern side of these Reserves is showing an interest in undertaking some Esplanade Reserve ecological Restoration by way of a small amount of native planting..

☒ Future Management plans of these Reserves must instigate a management regime that gives priority to ecological restoration, with public recreation as a secondary activity.

☒ Future Management of these Reserves must take into account ecological restoration achievements of the past by developing a consistent maintenance and management plan of existing plantings that will enhance those plantings growth and also allow for the natural colonisation of native estuarine plants through the control of the invasive grass Tall Fescue.

☒ Future Management Plans must recognise and support the efforts undertaken by surrounding private landowners and show greater leadership from TDC by a more professional approach to management of past ecological restoration of the Reserves.

21493 Mr Ian Price

Research Orchard Rd and Research Orchard Rd Esplanade Reserve (2) Serious re evaluation of past management plans where a significant area of the Reserve is allowed as open space and managed by way of mowing preventing natural ecological retreat, must be undertaken. Minimal numbers of the public use this open space area of the Reserve for recreation. The most popular areas of the reserves for public recreation is the walking access along the Esplanade around to Hoddy Estuary Park Reserve, this can continue to be accommodated with no disruption to public recreation.

☒ The mowed area of this Reserve MUST be incorporated into the ecological restoration by way of planting with suitable native plants with an intensive weed management plan incorporated into this future ecological restoration planting.

☒ Those southern areas of the Reserves must continue to be planted in suitable native plants, with an intensive weed management plan incorporated into this future ecological restoration planting.

21493 Mr Ian Price

Hoddy Estuary Park Reserve

The Waimea Inlet Forum made the comment in regards Hoddy estuary Park Reserve, they would like “to see this land parcel (Lot 6 DP 20521) made into Reserve under the Reserves Act and classified as Scenic Reserve, and that the Management Plan instigate a management regime that gives priority to ecological restoration, with public recreation as a secondary activity”, I would fully support this sentiment.

This Reserve has a number of unique features, a reasonably large lake which appears home to a number of wild fowl, I have observed, small groups of Scaup, groups of Little Shag and a number of Duck species. An Estuarine margin that links Hoddy Park with Research Orchard Rd Reserves which is presently dominated with weeds like Willow, Pampas, Pine, Acacia, Blackberry, Hawthorne.

	<p>This too is a unique reserve with access to the estuary, a variety of unique ecologies and a linking corridor to the expanding Research road Reserves but presently lacking a consistent management policy to enhance these unique qualities. I would like to see the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ☑ Clarity as to Reserve designation with the focus on giving Future Management plan priorities to ecological protection and restoration, with public recreation as a secondary activity. ☑ A significant area of the mown reserve to the west planted in native trees, a strip down from the carpark retained as a public recreation area. ☑ Into this Reserve management plan, emphasis on consistent weed control incorporating; <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The removal of dominating gum trees to the rear of the carpark and replaced with appropriate natives. 2. Removal of all exotic trees around the lake and along the foreshore replaced with suitable native plants reflecting the uniqueness of this lake and a more suitable reflection of native forest ecology. 3. Enhance the esplanade walk around to Research Orchard Rd reserves with weed control and suitable native planting.
21493 Mr Ian Price	<p>Due to future climate change predictions this review is an appropriate time to re-evaluate all coastal Reserves in relation to future impact from sea level rise and develop plans appropriate to giving the greatest ecological protection for these Reserves from such outcomes.</p> <p>Due to past human colonisation of the Moutere-Waimea Ward, limited native vegetation remains and limited public land bordering the Waimea Estuary remains. When reviewing the management plans of those Reserves, acknowledgement of their uniqueness and native ecological value and importance must be given the highest priority and protection.</p> <p>Within this review, the management of existing weed and mammalian pest control must be a focus of priority. One of the major issues with a number of coastal reserves is a lack of a comprehensive weed management programmes and a sustained enhancement programme for new native plantings, presently many new plantings die or struggle from a lack of maintenance.</p> <p>This management review MUST have as its core focus, planet/environment first NOT people.</p>
21493 Mr Ian Price	<p>While I appreciate Tasman District Council (TDC), historically, from both a political and administrative perspective has taken a conservative and less than sympathetic view of the negative impact humanity has inflicted on our natural environment. I would hope recent political changes and overwhelming global evidence of the present ecological crisis planet earth faces due to the negative impact from humanity, we may see a more environmental wellbeing focus through this present review of Reserve Management Plans.</p> <p>Not only for the planets survival but humanities we must see a reversal of what I would describe as the present mantra of people first, planet second to planet /environment first within these management plans. I believe it is imperative we see reflected in the plans that priority given to the environment over the wants and preferences of humanity.</p>
21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>Our comments centre on those areas which are of ecological and scenic importance for the future of native plant and animal life in the Moutere-Waimea area.</p> <p>We draw attention to the Proposed National Policy Statement for Indigenous Biodiversity which is promoting - “restoring and enhancing depleted ecosystems as part of achieving landscape-scale ecosystem restoration, and halting the decline of our indigenous biodiversity to ensure it is maintained for the health, enjoyment, and use of all New Zealanders now and for future generations.”</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We have several opportunities within the Moutere-Waimea area to restore habitats and improve the state of native species and we are concerned that such restoration is protected and continually improved far into the future. • Good protection for reserves which have a substantial area of native plant cover will be ensured by a designation of Scenic Reserve which have "...the primary purpose of protecting and preserving indigenous flora and fauna, scenic character, and natural landscapes". (Scenic reserves 6.6.1)
21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>Aranui Park</p> <p>A Management Plan was approved for the reserve in 1993. It proposed the preparation of a development plan that would carry out the wishes of the Wells family to establish plantings of local native species and to maintain the area free of buildings.</p> <p>Explanation: The reserve was gifted to the public "to make a contribution to the preservation of New Zealand's natural heritage". We ask that all future planting should fulfil the wishes of the Wells family and use species native to the Mapua region.</p> <p>A management plan should also control sedimentation from the proposed development on the uphill slope and also ensure there is no drop in the water table, which allows Mapua Wetland to flourish, from the development to the north of Aranui Road.</p>
21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>WAIMEA INLET RESERVES</p> <p>Proposed 'Recreation Reserves' in the 2000 review</p> <p>Research Orchard Rd Reserves</p> <p>These areas have some of the highest ecological values of all reserves in the Waimea Inlet due to their direct connection to the estuary and with rising contour away from the estuary. in the face of climate change and probable sea level rise these areas, a mix of public and private ownership allow for ecological retreat. These areas should be protected from dogs and over-use by people. We strongly support the prohibition of jet skis in the Waimea Inlet because of the extreme noise and disturbance they cause to bird life resting and nesting on the islands and shorelines.</p>
21570 Gillian Pollock	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We support both the following points in the 2000 review with one or two reservations. <p>5.25.1 Encourage community participation in reserve management and protection through management committees, support groups, or casual work parties.</p> <p>Habitat restoration and plant care projects are most successful when there is a leader to organise regular working bees and as far as possible each group should have a leader or a small sub-committee, who will communicate with the council over progress. 'Casual work parties' are not satisfactory for restoration projects and we ask for this category to be removed from the clause in the next review.</p> <p>6.6.4 Allow the use of scenic reserves and special interest sites for recreational and other community activities where such use is compatible with the primary purpose of the reserve, as defined by the Reserves Act 1977....</p> <p>We ask that "other community activities" is omitted from this clause because these activities are undefined and could be activities detrimental to the values of a Scenic Reserve.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We draw attention to the objectives of the Reserves Act in relation to Scenic reserves. <p>If management of Scenic Reserves is an issue for the council a more participatory management process should be implemented, whereby a local group formulates and implements the management plan with regular reports to the council.</p> <p>Both the Dominion Flats sub-committee and Forest and Bird at Pearl Creek fulfil such a process.</p>

22854 Mr Gowan Simpson	<p>As a local resident of Wakefield for the last 10 years and a member of the Wakefield Bush Restoration Society I am putting forward my personal views for consideration.</p> <p>1. Faulkner Bush Scenic Reserve:</p> <p>a. It is important that the correct legal name is used in reference to this reserve i.e. Faulkner Bush Scenic reserve</p> <p>b. During winter the grass areas alongside the roadway become a massive bog, so to prevent this occurring a car park should be developed inside the northern side of the entrance – beside the current toilet block and access to the Scenic reserve should be closed off with a padlocked chain as happens in other reserves during the winter months.</p> <p>c. Also of concern is the lack of water which is a major problem for our mature Kahikatea trees in particular. They are a wetland tree and as such will die during drought. Stormwater drainage into Faulkner’s Bush needs to be maintained and supplemented if possible perhaps with weirs to store any available rainwater.</p>
22854 Mr Gowan Simpson	<p>2. Edward Baigent Memorial Scenic Reserve:</p> <p>a. Again the name of the reserve should be correct in all legal documents as required by Baigent family members.</p> <p>b. A track needs to be constructed along the 88 Valley Stream to Robson reserve to join the two reserves. [See council map 29]. This would allow a circuit track to be built between all 3 reserves once the new land purchase is developed.</p> <p>c. More planting is needed on the edges of this reserve to preserve the mature native trees already growing.</p> <p>d. As with Faulkner’s Bush provision needs to be made to ensure adequate water reaches these trees – perhaps diversion of water from 88 Valley Stream.</p>
22854 Mr Gowan Simpson	<p>3. Robson Scenic & Recreation Reserve:</p> <p>a. Creation of a safe parking area at the northern end of the reserve</p> <p>b. Further planting between the 2 main bush areas</p> <p>c. Complete fencing around all the areas of bush to ensure stock don’t graze any of understory.</p> <p>d. As in 2b above – complete a track that joins other 2 reserves</p>
22872 Mr Lionel Solly	<p>Many of the matters of interest to DOC are captured in the Reserves General Policies (September 2015) – e.g. in 5.1 Protection and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity, and 5.2 Protection and enhancement of heritage and cultural values – and so long as these are reflected in the approach for the Moutere-Waimea Ward Reserves we shouldn’t have too many concerns. One thing that I don’t see clearly articulated in the General Policies, however, is a requirement/preference to use eco-sourced and locally appropriate indigenous species in restoration/planting programmes. There is a mention to use of native species in Policy 6.7.25, in the section for ‘Landscape development and tree protection’, but there doesn’t seem to be an equivalent statement under the section on ‘protection and enhancement of indigenous biodiversity’. Would be great if that can be picked up.</p>
22872 Mr Lionel Solly	<p>The Waimea-Moutere Ward has a lot of coastal reserves, and there are number of things that will need to be considered for these, e.g. protection (and enhancement) of coastal biodiversity; providing for public access; managing (or adapting to) effects of sea level rise, tidal inundation and coastal erosion (or accretion); and appropriateness of coastal protection works (particularly where there is significant infrastructure or private property adjacent to the reserve). Some of these reserves are on the margins of the Waimea Inlet and in those instances their management should be consistent with the Waimea Inlet Strategy and Action Plan (which you know better than me!)</p>

22872 Mr Lionel Solly	Similarly, there are a number of riparian reserves, and for those there are considerations of how they can contribute to the protection and enhancement of riparian and freshwater habitats (including creation of biodiversity corridors); providing for public access (including scope to enhance access to and along waterways); effect of and resilience to flood events; and appropriateness of river maintenance/flood control activities within those reserves.
22872 Mr Lionel Solly	Faulkner Bush and Baigents Bush at Wakefield have been identified as a priority Ecosystem Management Unit by DOC (currently ranked 225), even though they're not administered or managed by DOC. The provisions for these areas should prioritise protection and enhancement of the indigenous habitat/ecosystem values. Faulkners Bush has non eco-sourced native plants (particularly Hoheria populnea) present and these should be removed and replaced with eco-sourced natives such as Hoheria angustifolia. H. populnea is quite invasive – so it really does need to be removed.
22872 Mr Lionel Solly	How can the overarching outcomes and objectives defined for this area in the Kotahitanga mo te Taiao Strategy (pages 23-25) be enabled through the plan review? As well as the Kotahitanga mo te Taiao Strategy and Waimea Inlet Action Plan, consideration should be given to how reserves in the Moutere-Waimea Ward can contribute to outcomes for the Tasman Bio-Strategy now under development. This contribution may be through reserves individually and/or as groups of reserves that can act as 'stepping stones' for mobile species at a landscape scale (particularly where they provide corridors between larger areas of native habitat).
22897 Mr Rob Brown	<p>Faulkner Bush</p> <p>If an observer stands back some distant from two of the few remaining remnants of podocarp forests on the lowland and coastal alluvial plains, they will note the condition of the mature trees is not good in 2008 M North observed this. ("Kahikatea forest inherently susceptible to the effects of drainage" Michael North, Native Habitats Tasman Site Assessment Report to TDC October 2008) and the condition has not changed since. Indeed it has got worse. Due to the continued subdivision of the catchment these water loving trees are dying a slow death . I would like to see a wetland constructed and planted in the area known as the cabbage tree paddock (an area of exotic grassland to the south of the stand of kahikatea). Such a wetland would assist in saving water from flash flows in the two streams flowing into Faulkner Bush from the southern catchment and assist in prolonging the life of a moisture starved bush remnant.</p> <p>Planting should continue on the hill and upper terrace including that area with access from Hunter Terrace.</p>
22897 Mr Rob Brown	<p>Edward Baigent Memorial</p> <p>This small remnant of original bush is suffering in the same manner as Faulkner Bush.</p> <p>The Moutere Waimea Ward Reserves Management Plan2000 - 2017 pg 101 clause 6 states</p> <p>"Investigate options to maintain or enhance ground-water levels in the reserve; Explanation: Options may include the diversion of storm-water into the reserve, or water from the Wai-iti River or Eighty Eight Valley Stream."</p> <p>This has never been completed and to my knowledge has never even been given any serious thought. Council should investigate the construction of a small weir on 88 Valley stream with a pipe diverting a small continual flow into the centre of the bush.</p>

22897 Mr Rob Brown	Robsons Reserve Planting should continue in a planned manner with dedicated plantings around and under all established trees within the scenic area of this reserve which needs to be fenced off from the recreation area.
22897 Mr Rob Brown	Faulkner Bush, Robsons Reserve, & Edward Baigent These are all scenic reserves and should be managed as such. The work in them should be confined to matters which relate to the benefit of the flora and fauna in them ie the removal of invasive weeds, and exotic mammals and the continued planting of suitably ecosourced natives. I do not agree with the current policy of felling so called 'Dangerous' trees and fencing off every walk way and path. If council believes there is a health and safety issue with older trees then warning signs should be erected at the entrance and the bush left to complete the natural cycle of mature trees allowing a complete habitat to form . Dogs. If dogs must be allowed in scenic reserves then they should be on a leash at ALL times, this means the dogs are physically restrained and kept at the owners side it is not sufficient to say they dogs should be under control at all times.
22905 Mr Michael North	2) Management Plans The Motueka Reserves Management Plan was very good at promoting ecological values and putting ecological management up front as a key priority - both in the general policies, in specifically identifying Significant Native Habitats, and in site management prescriptions. I hope that this will be continued with this current review.

Freedom camping

21285 Uta Calver	I fail to understand why the country continues to allow freedom camping, it was only established when we had the football world event and we could not accommodate people otherwise. Freedom camping should have been discontinued after that event. Rates (which are rising immoderately in Tasman anyway) are now used to provide the necessary infrastructure for them, yet there is no compensatory income. People who want to have holidays in NZ should pay to stay in motor camps etc - which also would mean more people being employed. We want tourists because we want the income from them, do we not? Do we get cheap holidays in Europe and other similar countries? Why does New Zealand want to provide tourists with cheap holidays? I gather we are getting a reputation for that worldwide considering the easy access to the appropriate information on the Internet. Do we really want this?
21552 Mr & Mrs Hans and Ineke Roelofs	We just arrived at the Wai-Iti domain Recreation / free Campground area to spend the night in our SC-campervan with licence DJC535. We plan to stay 1 night. At the moment there are 3 campervans here in total. We thank you kindly for providing such a beautiful place. We found it via the Campermate App.

Grossi Point Reserve

22846 Mrs Jill Robinson

This morning I visited Grossi Point reserve and noticed that we immediately need to plant shade trees to replace the old pines that will shortly fall down. The gum trees detract from the area and should be removed. Nobody picnics under them sitting in all the shredded leaves and twigs.

The piles of dead branches left by contractors and dead scrubby trees need removing to make the place more inviting.

22913 John Leydon

Grossi Point.

Show some respect for this great community Asset.

- Remove the dumped logs, they are not from local trees and make the reserve look cluttered and untidy.
 - Build a low retaining wall around at least the southern and western edges to contain the rubble and fill, and to protect the middens and other undiscovered national treasures from erosion. The chunks of concrete landing on the beach are a hazard to swimmers and boats. There would be several sets of stairs to allow access to the beach for swimmers and walkers and access for small boats not needing a vehicle to launch.
 - Mill the logs to build the wall.
 - Remove the dead, unsightly, inappropriate and dangerous trees. Particularly the Macro-carpa at the right hand side of the entrance which threatens the adjacent house.
 - Begin a program of replanting shade and shelter trees. Use Rabbit Island for a model, with shady nooks and a dust -free road.
- Grossi Point should not be a dumping ground for surplus tree trunks and road materials
- Prioritise the promised and overdue Regional Boat Ramp Feasibility Study so that Grossi Point can return to being a recreation area and not a car and trailer park.
-

Mapua Waterfront Park

21605 Mr Wayne Daniel	<p>With reference to the grass playground area in the Mapua Waterfront area, I would prefer that it remains as it is , and does not have its status changed , in all reality I also support the Mapua Boat Clubs proposal to include a boat launching ramp in this area , it's adjacent to the original car trailer parking area that the council set up some years ago , and is eminently suitable for the clubs proposal. (Note councillors have been unashamedly misled by council employees pushing for a regional boat ramp, how much ratepayers \$ have been wasted in this unrequited , ill advised agenda, who is actually leading the council , and why have the council repeatedly failed to honour its obligations to provide its customers with a proper local boat ramp that is so desperately needed.) To alter this areas designation now would be seen as a travesty of justice given what the locals have been asking for over the last 8 years.</p>
21606 Mrs Sally Daniel	<p>Primarily I'm concerned about the Mapua Waterfront grass park area, (under review) , I want the status quo retained please, and do not want its title changed in any way.</p> <p>I also do support the boat clubs plan for a local boat ramp, and would prefer that this area , be part of any future plan , as I understand it is the area the club would like to use .</p> <p>With regards to a regional boat ramp, I would be very keen to know where in NZ one actually works, the reality is that all boaties launch at the closest suitable ramp , and will not drive past a suitable one to another one, just because it is labeled "regional" if your council staff do not understand this, then they don't obviously go boating , (there fore shouldn't be involved in decision making due to lack of experience or expertise.</p>
21674 Mrs Annie Coster	<p>I fully support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park. This would benefit the Waimea/Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and other who previously had unfettered access to the mapua Boat Ramp. It also provided for easy boat access to the estuary and the channel to facilitate rescuing anyone who got into difficulty in the current on the outgoing tide.</p> <p>I strongly object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by th Mapua Boat Club in or any similar community organisation.</p>
21690 Mr Andrew Butler	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p> <p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22724 Mr Jason Howcroft	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p>

	<p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22733 Mr Hamish Ballantyne	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p> <p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22734 Mrs Katrina Ballantyne	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p> <p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22744 Mrs Lorraine Field	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the south side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the launching of boats from the Waimea/Moutere boating community. I object to any changes of classification of the freehold land known at the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Inc or any similar community organisation.</p>
22745 Mr Alan S Field	<p>I am very supportive of the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of Mapua Waterfront Park for the Waimea/ Moutere boating community. Since the closing of the former boat ramp at Mapua the only launching facility close to Mapua is Grossi Point. This is limited to the tide as at low tide the channel is difficult if not impossible to navigate. I am also concerned that Tamaha Sea Scouts have been unable to use the former boat ramp for their activities something that is vital for youngsters to gain experience in all things boating. A new ramp on the southern side of Mapua Waterfront Park will also cater for their needs.</p> <p>The Mapua and surrounding districts need that facility to enable them to launch boats in a safe environment without having to travel many kilometers to another launching ramp. There is also the need for this facility in case of an emergency at sea especially in the channel where the tidal rip is extreme.</p> <p>I also object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>

	<p>I believe it is incumbent on council to ensure that what has been denied by the upgrading of Mapua and the removal of unlimited access to the former boat ramp, that space for an alternative ramp be provided in Mapua for the many boat owners there.</p>
22757 Mr David Jeffery	<p>I am a Mapua resident, a member of the Mapua Boat Club and own a small tinnie which I use for fishing and boating out of Mapua. I strongly believe the TDC has an obligation to the local ratepayers and boating community to replace the old boat ramp beside the Mapua wharf which has been effectively closed by the Council due to the increased pedestrian activity around the wharf caused by the increase in commercial development.</p> <p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the Southern side of the Mapua waterfront park, with parking spaces for cars and trailers made available on the grass area directly opposite on the other side of Tahī Street. This simply has to be the obvious choice for the site for a replacement ramp as it's handy to the Mapua village and requires no land purchases and has no adverse effect on anyone. It would be a simple exercise to relocate the boules court somewhere nearby in the waterfront park.</p> <p>I object to any reclassification of any of the land currently in the Mapua Waterfront Park, which could interfere or prevent the building of the new boat ramp in this space in the future.</p> <p>Once the new ramp is built I believe Grossi Point should be classified as a reserve and picnic area only and any boating activity there should be restricted to non-motorized craft only such as paddleboards, kayaks etc.</p>
22781 Mr Ray Bolderson	<p>I have lived in Mapua for 18 years and are keen boaties Living in a port it seems crazy that we don't have a ramp to launch from I object to the Waterfront Park being reclassified as it would mean further delays to the application to build a ramp for the wider community</p>
22792 Mrs Jill Robinson	<p>Re the Mapua Waterfront Park I desire a boat ramp to be built on the southern side of this park to serve the huge number of boaties in the surrounding areas and the sea scouts whom we see struggling to launch their boats in the current circumstances since our easy access to the previous boat ramp has been taken away. There are large numbers of children learning water skills that we watch through our windows. I object to the freehold land being reclassified or any change that would upset the provision of a boat ramp there.</p>
22832 Mr Allan Clinton	<p>I would like to see a small section on the south side of the waterfront park set aside for the use of the community as Boat launching ramp for small craft.</p>
22835 Ms Marg Pidgeon	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p> <p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22836 Mr Fred Bannister	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p>

	<p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22839 Mrs Angela Holbrook	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p> <p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22840 Mr Brian Holbrook	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p> <p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club Incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22847 Jenn Bolderson	<p>I support the construction of a community boat ramp on the southern side of the Mapua Waterfront Park for the benefit of the Waimea / Moutere boating community, Tamaha Sea Scouts and others that previously had unfettered access to the Mapua boat ramp.</p> <p>I object to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or the application of any plan, scheme or change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or that would frustrate or delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat.</p> <p>This area has been used by families and boat owners, harmoniously for many years.</p>
22848 Mr John Palmer	<p>I wish to support the Mapua Boat Club and Sea Scouts in their efforts to secure a replacement boat ramp on the south side of the Mapua waterfront park for the benefit of the Tamaha sea scouts, the rapid growth of the Moutere/Waimea boating community and the visiting holiday boating public who wish to enjoy all that this beautiful region offers.</p> <p>This ramp would be a replacement for the loss of access to the Mapua boat ramp built by the Mapua boat club for public use.</p> <p>I object strongly to any reclassification of the freehold land known as the Mapua Waterfront Park or any change of status which would interfere with the provision of a community boat ramp at that location or would frustrate/delay any application to build such a ramp by the Mapua Boat Club incorporated or any similar community organisation.</p>
22870 Jenny Easton	<p>See attachment.</p>
22885 Mr Peter PATERSON	<p>Mapua's Waterfront Park needs to be a proper reserve not estate in fee simple owned by TDC. Poor design has fettered Waterfront Park which resembles something out of Stalinist Russia with all that concrete never used for its intended purpose. The riparian rights adjacent to the wharf is a long term risk that needs to be addressed. Similarly not being able to walk to Ruby Bay at high tide is</p>

	unacceptable. Waterfront Park needs to be protected for passive recreation - not boat launching. Golden Bear licence extensions (expanded area) to be reviewed.
22912 Mr John Fountain	3) Please keep Waterfront Park as an open green space, for events , people friendly events - larger ones such as the masked parade this year, K2M, Songfest, ...or smaller events like weddings and especially birthday parties (as trees and picnic tables and shelters are developed) . Please think of the future, 10-20 50 years out , when Tahi street west land is developed as it is currently zoned to be - some residential, some commercial, when the surrounding hills are developed even further with residential lifestyle blocks . Waterfront Park will be an oasis for not only Mapua residents, but for the many visitors, within Tamsan and without, to Mapua Wharf commercial area. The green space and foreshore with the promenade has a combination of amenity values and social and community (visitor and local) opportunities at the Wharf precinct unparalleled in Tasman. That will be even more precious in the future as development occurs.
22913 John Leydon	Mapua Waterfront Park <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Nothing should be changed with the conditions of use for this Park that would prevent the addition of a boat ramp if it was deemed desirable at some future date. • Until the feasibility study on a Regional Boat Ramp has been completed ,this Park should be considered the best place for a replacement ramp for Mapua, which may also serve as the new Regional ramp. It is the only site that complies with the TDC's published requirements for a boat ramp site.
22957 Annette Walker	I am the neighbour and have the common boundary with the Waterfront Park. There are some points which I would like to be taken into account when considering a management plan for the Mapua Waterfront Park: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The park has been known as the 'Waterfront Park' since Damion O'Connor referred to it by that name some years ago. I suggest this should be its official name. 2. I object strongly to any reclassification of this freehold land. 3. As the adjacent neighbour of the park, there are points which must be taken into consideration in any management: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) because the park has been landscaped artificially high and my land has the much lower, natural landscape values, I have on going problems with drainage and ponding, and after sustained rainfall events my property floods and on one occasion it flooded inside two of my dwellings, one being very close to this common boundary. This problem must somehow be resolved as the inadequate open drain and bund wall continues to get blocked in rain events and I am left urgently finding a neighbour to help me clear the lake which backs up and overflows into my property. b) there must be a buffer zone of 8 metres between my boundary and any activity. c) any commercial or community activity must finish at 10pm. 4. I support a boat ramp on the park in principle but it must be first and foremost for the benefit of the Tamaha Sea Scouts and the local community to launch small craft. It must be a single lane access ramp and 8 metres from my boundary. Larger craft can launch at Motueka.

Reserve classification / Ecological restoration ideas

21493 Mr Ian Price	<p>In the recent Reserve Management Plan review for Motueka Ward, the concept of 'Healthy Nature Healthy People' was promoted. Based upon this philosophy this Management Review process for the Moutere-Waimea reserves would be an appropriate time to review all Reserve classifications with intent to give them the highest ecological protection classification.</p>
21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>Higgs Reserve 5.26.4 To reserve the following area under Section 14 Reserves Act 1977, and classify as Recreation Reserve under Section 16 of the Act: Higgs Reserve (2000 review) Higgs Reserve supports a significant remnant of vegetation along the perimeter of the nationally important Waimea Inlet in an area where almost all the original native vegetation has been removed. The estuary margin vegetation within the reserve is particularly important. It is relatively intact and provides habitat for passerines such as grey warbler and shining cuckoo and estuary waders. The reserve also offers potential for the restoration of native vegetation and the creation of further wildlife habitat, as proposed in the earlier management plan. It is part of the entire Dominion/Chaytor streams restoration which is underway. We support this reserve being re-classified as Scenic.</p>
21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>Firestone reserve, Lee valley A large part of the reserve is maintained as mown grass, though there are some significant stands of native vegetation along the river edge and patches of kanuka and totara scattered throughout the reserve. Dominant trees in the river edge forest are matai, totara, black beech, and kanuka. Also common are kahikatea, lemonwood, red beech, silver beech, pokaka, Lophomyrtus obcordata, miro, turepo, and willow. A diverse range of native species is present in the understorey of this forest remnant. in 19857 . The plan proposed protection and enhancement of flora and fauna while providing recreational access to the Lee River. The reserve has considerable potential as an important part of a corridor of native forest remnants in the Lee Valley, Important management issues include the protection of the native forest remnants, further planting of native species, control of aggressive weeds. We support this reserve being classified as Scenic and there must be good control over access so that no damage occurs.</p>
21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>WAIMEA INLET RESERVES Proposed 'Recreation Reserves' in the 2000 review Hoddy Estuary Park We ask that this land parcel is made a Reserve under the Reserves Act and classified as Scenic Reserve, and that the Management Plan instigate a management regime that gives priority to ecological restoration, with public recreation as a secondary activity.</p>
21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>WAIMEA INLET RESERVES Proposed 'Recreation Reserves' in the 2000 review Pearl Creek and Cotterell Road Reserves Listed as - Local Purpose (Esplanade) Reserve in the 2000 review The area around the mouths of the Waimea River and Pearl Creek contains several Significant Natural Habitats. We ask that the whole of the Council-owned Pearl Creek/Waimea river mouth area is managed as a wildlife refuge and classified as</p>

Scenic Reserve. The area is important for saltmarsh migration in response to sea level rise, The acquisition by the council of the O'Connor land greatly expands habitat for bird species such as bittern, fernbird and banded rail and for whitebait breeding habitat.

We consider that game bird hunting is inappropriate in this area. Pearl Creek should be made a whitebait sanctuary which would protect it from all fishing.

This area has the potential to become a Tasman flagship of national significance, showcasing best practice in the protection of intertidal wetlands and lead to better protection of the entire Waimea Inlet. As the boundaries follow mean high water springs, which alter over time, the management programme should also cover intertidal areas of saltmarsh and water channels adjoining the Reserves as a single ecological unit.

21570 Gillian Pollock

6.6 Scenic Reserves and Special Interest Sites

6.6.4 Allow the use of scenic reserves and special interest sites for recreational and other community activities where such use is compatible with the primary purpose of the reserve, as defined by the Reserves Act 1977, and compatible with policies 6.5.1 and 6.5.2 above;

6.6.5 Eradicate or control introduced plants and animals to the extent required for the protection of ecological values

- Intact eco-systems are vital for ensuring there is a healthy future for our district and the wider area. The areas we are proposing should become scenic reserves were all, a few hundred years ago fully forested or healthy wetland which can again, with restoration, become complete habitats.

- Moutere-Waimea Reserves Management Plan 2000 states –

5.20 Habitat Conservation

5.20.1 Ensure that areas of indigenous vegetation and wildlife habitat on reserves are protected, especially those areas adjoining the sea, streams, lakes, and rivers;

5.20.2 Enhance, wherever possible, the habitat values of reserves as part of reserve maintenance and development;

- We consider these aspirations are even more urgent now as the world tumbles into climate heating through destruction of the natural environment and over-use of natural resources.

- Moutere-Waimea Reserves Management Plan 2000 states –

5.26.6 To reserve the following areas under Section 14 of the Reserves Act 1977, and classify as Scenic Reserves under Section 16 of the Act:

a) Edward Baigent Reserve

b) Faulkner Bush Reserve

c) Pine Hill Heights Reserve (an important remnant of coastal forest and is regeneration strongly)

c) Robson Reserve

d) Wairoa Gorge Scenic Reserve

- In the Draft Tasman District Council Reserves General Policies, May 2013 these reserves are still listed as Proposed Scenic. We strongly support these reserves being ratified as Scenic. Each reserve contains important remnants of previous total native vegetation cover and are a seed source for future restoration in their areas.

21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>Dominion Flats and former undesignated Higgs Reserve</p> <p>We would like to see all parcels of land held by the Council adjacent to Te Mamaku highway made into Scenic Reserve under the Reserves Act. They should become one integrated ecological unit, despite the road dividing them. The area of restoration between 3 and 4 is narrow but continuous.</p> <p>(See image in attachment).</p>
22897 Mr Rob Brown	<p>Moutere-Waimea Ward Reserves</p> <p>In general I am not in favour of Council holding land in freehold. If Council has bought land or been granted it in some way it should be immediately placed under the protection of the Reserves Act for the appropriate purpose.</p>
22905 Mr Michael North	<p>1) Reserve Classification</p> <p>It is pleasing to see Council considering giving Reserves Act protection to potentially a range of reserves that to date have no formal protection. I fully support such a move. It was disappointing to note that only two reserves were proposed for Reserves Act protection as Scenic Reserve under the new Motueka Ward Reserves Management Plan, and none elevated to further protect their biodiversity values. I hope the intentions for Reserve Act protections will go very much further with this current review.</p> <p>I would like to see all lands held by Council that have been designated Significant Native Habitats (SNHs) under the Native Habitats Tasman (NHT) programme, to be classified as Scenic Reserves or as Local Purpose (Nature Conservation) Reserves, rather than other designations. Such classifications hold that the primary purpose is to protect natural values, which gives them precedence over other values where there is a conflict. Such designations allow for recreation, so that such areas can be enjoyed, which is very important to note. The Motueka Ward Reserves Management Plan is disappointing in that the reserve status of a number of SNHs remained unchanged, and one remains unprotected with no Reserves Act designation proposed and with no apparent intention to do this. I hope this approach will not be adopted with this current review.</p>
<hr/>	
<p>Sport / recreation</p>	
22855 Ali Telford	See attached submission.
22860 Mr Tony Jemmet	See attached submission.

Tell us your ideas and suggestions for inclusion in a draft Reserve Management Plan

21487 Mr nick wiffen	There could be small sections devoted to community fruit growing.
21570 Gillian Pollock	See attached submission
21570 Gillian Pollock	<p>We consider TDC's draft plan will not address the requirements of the Reserves Act 1977. We question the efficacy of preparing a one-off document for all its reserves which cannot address the threats and issues affecting each one. In particular Council should give specific attention to climate change and develop adaptation and ameliorative responses to the impact of sea level rise on its reserves. The implications of this and global warming on flora and fauna needs urgent consideration.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Reserves Act does include some requirements which Council's proposal omits - <p>40A Conservation management strategies</p> <p>(1)Every conservation management strategy shall implement statements of general policy and establish objectives for the management of reserves administered by the Department under this Act.</p> <p>40B Conservation management plans in respect of reserves administered by the Department</p> <p>(1)The purpose of a conservation management plan under this section is to implement conservation management strategies and to establish objectives for the management of a reserve or reserves administered by the Department, according to the purpose or purposes for which that reserve is classified or those reserves are classified.</p> <p>(3)The management plan shall provide for and ensure the use, enjoyment, maintenance, protection, and preservation, as the case may require,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Thus the establishment of strategies, objectives and policies is an imperative for each reserve. Developing a strategy, should be a forward roadmap of interrelated, interlocking objectives and policies. Council should have regard to issues and events which might affect the "use, enjoyment, maintenance and preservation of the reserve".
21576 Garrick Batten	<p>Please consider designating protected status to the corky bark elms in Rutherford Park Brightwater.</p> <p>These have heritage status in that corky bark elms were planted by the original 50 acre Teetotal Section settlers in the mid 1850s as firewood resource due to the lack of suitable firewood available, and have survived to date.</p>
21632 Mr David Sissons	See attachment
21633 Mr Ralph Bradley	The Top of the South Dark sky committee wishes to make the following two submissions to the above review (see attached submission).
21633 Mr Ralph Bradley	<p>1. Include recognition of the Wai-iti Recreation Reserve as part of a protected Dark Sky Park</p> <p>The Top of the South Dark Sky Committee (TSDSC) has an agreement with the Tasman District Council (TDC) in the form of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed in June 2019 to gazette the Wai-iti Recreation Reserve in the plan review as part of a Dark Sky Park. (See the MOU text attached below - note the first bullet regarding the TDC obligations)</p> <p>The Dark Sky Park project under the direction of Richard Hollier (Manager) for TDC and in association with the TSDSC intends to establish a Dark Sky Park consisting of the Wai-iti Road Reserve, the Wai-iti Recreation Reserve and the Tunnickliff Forest, owned by the Council.</p>

The TSDSC formally request that the status of the Dark Sky Park be recognised in the new Parks and Reserves Plan as per the MOU intent: "Recognise the designation over the reserve in the Moutere-Waimea Ward Reserve Management Plan by the inclusion of policies that protect the dark sky status."

We hope this will be New Zealand's first International Dark Sky Association accredited Dark Sky Park. TSDSC has already applied for this designation, as agreed in the MOU, for international recognition for this Dark Sky Park. We expect to be able to announce our success later this year, if approved. To some extent, approval will be contingent on protection of the area by the local authority.

21633 Mr Ralph Bradley

2. Modify LDM 9.12.6.4 to leave parks and reserves unlit other than to achieve limited specific objectives

The TSDSC notes that the Nelson Tasman Land Development Manual states in chapter 9:

9.12.6.4 In the Tasman District, the Council supports the lighting of all reserves and all lighting designs shall be approved by the Reserves and Facilities Manager prior to their installation.

The TSDSC believes this is a mistake in policy, and wish to see it reversed. We believe that all reserves should be left without lighting so there are many places the public can go to observe our natural heritage in the beauty of the night sky.

By creating a change in policy for all reserves and parks the Council releases itself of the burden of installing and maintaining expensive lighting fixtures in these areas. At the same time this creates places in many communities of areas with reduced light pollution, for public enjoyment at night as well as by day.

Where lighting in reserves is absolutely necessary, such as in the following cases:

- Illuminating the entrances to public conveniences
- Essential signage
- Wayfinding on pathways with high nocturnal traffic frequency

the policy should state that the Council will require lighting in accord with international best practice as recommended by the International Dark Sky Association to protect all wildlife, human health, and to protect the public's view of the night sky. Best practise at this time is to use PC Amber or True Amber luminaires with a 2200K upper limit and fully shielded fixtures. These lights eliminate the blue/white light from the spectrum that has been shown to produce harm both to wildlife and to humans through the disruption of our hormonal systems.

21633 Mr Ralph Bradley

2. continued ...

To light paths at night for safety purposes, fully shielded path bollard lighting is preferred over aerial fixtures. Bright luminaires on high pole fixtures create unsafe environments in parks by producing glare that reduces eyesight dark adaptation resulting in perceiving shadow areas as darker, increasing the places where malicious individuals can hide. Low intensity path lighting actually increases nighttime visibility for safety and enhances situational awareness for the perception of safety.

We wish to see all parks and reserves in the Ward recognised as protected dark sky places even if they cannot qualify for international recognition. We have communities calling us for help as to how to make their communities light pollution free, to the point we are overwhelmed with requests. The momentum for a Dark Sky New Zealand, to be the first internationally recognised Dark Sky Country, is gathering support. We wish to see the Ward show leadership in this review process by instituting important dark sky protections.

21633 Mr Ralph Bradley	<p>Reasons for these submissions</p> <p>The natural night sky is a taonga. For generations Māori and others have used detailed astronomical knowledge for navigation, to mark the seasons and sow crops. The ability to observe the stars is especially important for Matariki in particular and maramataka in general.</p> <p>Much of the world has lost their view of the stars because of light pollution. Internationally, 83% of the population cannot see the Milky Way from where they live. In the US and Europe, more than 99% of the population lives under bright sky glow due to light pollution. In NZ, we are doing better, over 50% of New Zealanders cannot see the Milky Way from where they live. But we need to make sure we do not follow the rest of the world in this negative trend, and we need to take steps to do better. The Nelson-Tasman region already has an advantage by having large areas free of light pollution, which we need to protect. It is not too late to preserve much of the night sky for present and future generations.</p> <p>We have the opportunity to create areas of international significance in our backyard. This is valuable for tourism and for local people to have pristine views of the Milky Way and stars.</p> <p>This can be for pure enjoyment, scientific research, education about our universe, astrophotography, teaching traditional navigation and many other benefits to the whole community.</p> <p>Most importantly, artificial light at night adversely affects wildlife, biodiversity and human health. Half of all life on earth starts its day at sundown. You may have seen recent coverage in the media addressing the issue of light pollution being attributed to significant reductions in the insect population, e.g. https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/nov/22/light-pollution-insect-apocalypse Light pollution has a drastic and potentially deadly effect on this nocturnal life.</p> <p>These factors and many more inspire our efforts to create natural dark sky areas in the Top of the South and in due course, we hope, over our whole country.</p>
22837 Mr Doug South	<p>Please find attached The Wakefield Bush Restoration Society (2000) Inc. ideas & suggestions pertaining to the Moutere Waimea Ward Reserves Management plan.</p> <p>We are most happy if you or your team would like to have an “on-ground” meeting with us to look at these ideas and proposals that we have presented for;</p> <p>The Faulkner Bush Scenic Reserve The Edward Baigent Memorial Scenic Reserve The Robson Scenic & Recreation Reserve The Wai-iti Recreation Reserve TDC – New Purchase of Land – between the Wakefield Recreation Reserve (sports grounds) and The Edward Baigent Memorial Scenic Reserve</p>
22841 Mr Jan Heijs	See attachment.
22858 Doug & Mary Donaldson	<p>Edward Baigent Memorial Scenic Reserve Faulkner’s Bush Scenic Reserve Robson Scenic and Part Recreation Reserve</p> <p>The following submission in response to Tasman District Council intention to review management plans for Parks and Reserves in the</p>

	<p>Tasman District.</p> <p>As a resident in the immediate vicinity of the above named reserves, my primary concern is with the maintenance and care of these parks and reserves for current and future generations of Wakefield residents.</p> <p>Tasman District Council needs no reminding of the appalling disregard for Memorial Scenic Reserves such as Edward Baigent, and the devastation caused by prolonged overcrowding by Council condoned freedom camping. Regrettably, following the cessation of freedom camping, the disgusting mess left in this reserve, was left to voluntary organisations such as the Wakefield Bush Restoration Society and other concerned Wakefield residents to restore.</p> <p>I point out, that this was carried out at considerable financial cost to this small group of volunteers.</p> <p>Given the historical significance of these reserves, often named after prominent pioneering families, surely the least following generations can do, is afford such bequeathed land, some respect and dignity. To this end, I believe local Government such as T.D.C. should consult with residents before ANY changes are made concerning our reserves. It should be pointed out, that by far and away, maintenance of such reserves including weeding, building board walks, fencing, maintaining tracks etc, is carried out by the aforementioned volunteers, thus saving T.D.C. ratepayers thousands of dollars annually.</p> <p>Parks, reserves and recreational areas play an important role in people's lives and our environment.</p> <p>We take it for granted that these areas are a god given right, when the truth is, unlike some countries, we are privileged to publicly own these areas.</p> <p>Let's keep that in mind Councillors, when deliberating the future of these much loved areas.</p>
22872 Mr Lionel Solly	<p>Looking at the existing management plan I see there were provisions for reserve monitoring. Whilst I suspect that little monitoring has actually been done (no criticism there, I know that's just the reality we all face), the results from any monitoring that has occurred should feed into the review and, if still current, inform future direction for the relevant reserves. Realistic and achievable monitoring goals should also be included in the new plan, perhaps limited to a subset of reserves where values or pressures are greatest.</p>
22872 Mr Lionel Solly	<p>The revised management plan should take account of the delegations given to local authorities under the Reserves Act (which have changed since the current plan was written), where some matters can now be determined by the council (acting under delegated authority) rather than the Minister of Conservation. Council should ensure that it has the appropriate internal processes to undertake these statutory functions.</p>
22872 Mr Lionel Solly	<p>Community involvement in reserve management should be encouraged and is often essential. As we've had to do in DOC (to satisfy requirements of the Health & Safety at Work Act), Council should ensure that it has appropriate processes for authorising and endorsing H&S plans for activities carried out by volunteers groups and individuals .</p>
22872 Mr Lionel Solly	<p>I note that Waimea River Park is shown on the maps, and understand that there is a separate management plan for this area (dated August 2010). Will that be wrapped up in the Moutere-Waimea Ward Reserves management plan, or kept as a separate document? Either way, the objectives and policies for the river park will need to include the habitat restoration requirements arising from the consents for the Waimea Community Dam.</p>
22880 Mr Ian Wishart	<p>See attachment.</p>

22881 Mr Peter Bramley	See attachment.
22886 Mr & Mrs Doug & Evie-joy South	See attachment
22887 Avis Blowers	See attached
22900 Mr Guthrie Beatson	<p>The concept of public access to as many of the natural assets of our district is laudable but not all of the users of these public access areas are mindful of others and behave in a proper manner. In taking on the management of these reserves the Council has taken on the responsibility of more than just providing access to the river but must also provide basic facilities commensurate with the public use. I am referring in particular to the Peninsular Road reserve and to discarding of rubbish and defecating on the reserve or the adjoining land. During the summer period of Christmas through to mid February the Peninsular Road reserve can have up to 100 people swimming and picnicking at the river. We ask that the Council consider provide one or more portable toilets and a rubbish bin over the high use period mentioned above, both of which would be serviced on a regular basis. Outside this high use period the impact of visitor use is much less dire, often only one or two per day.</p> <p>As the adjacent land owner we are somewhat annoyed and distressed at the amount of rubbish left behind and more so when our dog comes home having rolled in human faeces. It is also not a pleasant sight for the visitors seeing toilet paper dotted around in the bushes.</p> <p>In my opinion signs will have little effect on those who are going to leave their rubbish and it is not reasonable to expect the that visitors at the river for the afternoon will not have to go to the toilet at some stage and with no where to go it will be in the adjacent bushes.</p>
22904 Marion Satherley	See attachment.
22906 Mr William Keith Darling	<p>May I suggest/recommend re-engaging with the local neighbourhoods directly through appointed (Volunteers) 'Wardens' who can be the eyes and ears to address/report local issues and adherence to management policies.</p> <p>I use and enjoy all local reserves on a regular basis and appreciate we all need public space for social health and wellbeing (physical and mental). The 'reserves' should also provide amentity value and be large enough to cater for the changes in human outdoor activities (seasonal or otherwise).</p> <p>Reserves should remain 'Reserves' and not be compromised as conduits to accomodate "Growth demands" eg. infrastructural service access(eg.Transmission Lines), and the like. Human land use should re-evaluated towards 'Quality' rather than '..Quantity'. Arable land should be 'Reserved' for food production, and, natural zoning should not be overlooked to serve human population pressures(should be capped)...short term accomodation on marginal land excepted.</p> <p>I believe that direct neighbourhood involvement in the management of Parks and Reserves will shift focus and greater community appreciation/usage. The future human activities in these Reserves may well indicate the socio-economic health of the community. Let's go for 'Quality' and make the Reserves inviting and appealing to use. A group of neighbourhood "wardens" should generate the 'Vision' and monitor future developments to suit local usage.</p> <p>Addendum Note: Referring to "the way we manage Moutere/Waimea Ward parks and reserves", specifically "we" !? 'We' as Tasman Council, or as individuals within a greater community should meet our stewardship responsibilities.</p>

	<p>I illude to Privately Owned land, of significant size and importance to the greater community, that is showing the signs of poor management and under utilization. No prizes for guessing one glaring example, it's the A&P Showgrounds. The Council should up it's game and declare it has the capability to administer 'Richmond Park' For and on behalf of A&P Assn and our community. For a mere 50 cents a week all residents could become Members, not only, using the facilities, but also introducing new ideas and breathing life back into struggling Assn. !? The iconic A&P Assn. needs/relies on community support...How can YOU help !</p>
22912 Mr John Fountain	See attached diagram.
22912 Mr John Fountain	<p>MY focus is on the Mapua Waterfront set of 6 reserves, those outlined on the (revised) Map 15 - see below fo a version I adapted and sent to friends.</p> <p>1 -Aranui Road to Langford Drive Walkway - (a) Lot 1 DP 4 72570 (b) Lot 28 DP 17242 (c) Lot 29 DP 17242 (d) Lot 64 DP 18328</p> <p>2 - Grossi Point Esplanade Reserve - (a) Lot 15 DP 6482 (b) Lot 11DP4524 (c) Lot 11DP5006 (d) Lot 9 DP 5006 (e) Lot 10 DP 4524 (f) Lot 4 DP 7570 (g) Lot 4 DP 491680</p> <p>3 - Grossi Point Recreation Reserve - Pt Lot 2 DP 417</p> <p>4 - Mapua Waterfront Park - (a) Lot 2 DP 11106 (b) Lot 6 DP 11502 (c) Lot 5 DP 11502 (d) Lot 7 DP 11502 (e) Lot 1 DP 11502 (f) Lot 3 DP 11502 (g) Lot4DP11502 (h) Lot2DP11502</p> <p>5 - Ngaio Park - Pt Sec 2 Moutere Hills District</p> <p>6 - Tahi Esplanade - Lot 1 DP 14311</p>
22912 Mr John Fountain	<p>1) Please treat these six sets of reserves, open spaces, and parks as an integrated set - ie not taken one by one, but with a coordinated approach to Reserve Management. I am thinking not only of the coordination between the 6 Reserves themselves, but also between these reserves and general TDC Reserve policies . But Mapua Waterfront Reserves could become a showcase for what can be imagined, and done, for disability friendly network of reserves for walking and relaxing, with friends, or alone, I think it extremely important to bring all 6 under protection of the Reserves Act, and TDC policies towards Reserves. That means in particular classifying 1,4 5 and 6 in the following list as Reserves To date only #2 and \$3 are actually classified as Reserves - my guess is as recreational reserves, but I leave that over to you. As Reserves they will be protected in the long run, and for future generations, from the whims of whatever council and special interests try to engineer, hidden from the public eye, and scrutiny .</p>
22913 John Leydon	<p>Tahi St West Remediated Site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The bulk of this land, which has not been earmarked for a Reserve ,is currently land-banked. As the parking requirements for Port Mapua are hardly likely to decline,this land should be designated a Reserve now. • The land needs to be renamed with something shorter that does not hint at it's history.The burial portion should have some recognition. • Suitable name suggestions may include Parking Park, Jack Laird Park, Bill Williams Park, Tim King Park or even Mapua Cemetery.
22913 John Leydon	See four photos attached, which illustrate some of the points made below.
22970 Mr David Short	See attached.

Thank you

21493 Mr Ian Price	Thankyou for the opportunity to submit on future TDC management plans for the Moutere-Waimea Reserves, my submission is attached.
22905 Mr Michael North	Thankyou for the invitation to the community to take part in this process from an early stage.

Wakefield Recreation Reserve

21285 Uta Calver	<p>I refer to the above reserve and adjacent paddock that, I understand, Tasman Council has purchased recently. I believe the reserve should be maintained as it is. It once again is a pleasure to take a walk through there and along the Wai-iti. Being one of the "older" generation I enjoy my daily round trip continuing through to the Faulkners Bush reserve. However with regard to the paddock I suggest that it is landscaped, planted up with trees etc, perhaps a picnic area, and a walkway that connects with the Baigent forestry Reserve. The fence along the river and to the existing reserve could be removed. Wakefield keeps growing but reserves like Faulkners and Baigents are not.</p> <p>This area should not be considered for a motorcamp or (heaven forbid) Freedom Camping. Some people are of the opinion that these visitors bring business to Wakefield. That does not seem to be the case as I have talked to quite a lot of them. They are mainly young people who want a cheap holiday, only purchasing the necessary food, and for that they tend to go to the cheaper supermarkets in the cities. They may have a coffee in Wakefield.</p>
21459 Mr Dave Mackenzie	<p>See attachment for photos.</p>
21459 Mr Dave Mackenzie	<p>Please accept feedback for the proposed redevelopment of the area above.</p> <p>As background, I am a resident of the local community, with kids who attend Wakefield school and Playcentre, as well as having extended family and grandparents in the area and the region.</p> <p>Over the past 25 years, my experience has been based with educational and community organisations, coupled with postgraduate study/research in facility development to meet the needs of community.</p> <p>The ideas below are not necessarily prescriptive but ideas and concepts that can help ensure the space can connect with young families through to seniors. Whilst also providing a space that locals can embrace but also be a destination for those in the region to enjoy, this in turn can also provide economic benefits. I guess the point I'm keen emphasise is that a space like what we have, with its existing playing fields, trees and river edge, should be a place that can connect with as much of the community as possible. This in turn will see greater usage and the community to take pride and ownership of the space.</p> <p>Thanks for taking the time to read and more than happy to contribute further.</p>
21459 Mr Dave Mackenzie	<p>1. Walk/bike track that weaves and connects all aspects of the park</p> <p>Main purposes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- walking track that is suitable for families, pushchairs, bikes, wheelchairs, exercise and senior citizens. Smooth, flat, wide, and accessible via multiple entrances.- history plaques, that can document and educate locals and visitors about Wakefield's rich history. Information like this can help prolong walks and provide greater connection to the area.
21459 Mr Dave Mackenzie	<p>2. An aqua pad</p> <p>Example below is from the kapiti coast and is a hit with local families and those from outside the district. Given our climate this type of inclusion would appeal to local families and would encourage others to come and enjoy the space. It also adds to the free entertainment available for families regardless of their social economic background.</p>

21459 Mr Dave Mackenzie	<p>3. Mini Golf</p> <p>Adding to the mix of activities that suit all ages, a mini golf. This type of development can be tendered out or built and leased, so it becomes cost neutral for council but provides another activity for all ages of the community but would also attract people from the greater region, which would in turn provide more economic benefit to local shops.</p>
21459 Mr Dave Mackenzie	<p>4. Community sports centre</p> <p>With the proposed number of residential developments planned for Wakefield, this would be a great time to have a singular community centre servicing the needs of our current (and future) sports. These are common throughout the region, with fantastic facilities already in place in many local towns. Would be great if Wakefield could have such a sporting hub.</p>
21652 Mr Peter Verstappen	<p>Landscape Wakefield is a group of Wakefield residents who came together in 2015 to support a project by third year Landscape Architecture students from Victoria University, Wellington. Students and their teachers studied Wakefield over a period of several months and produced a range of designs for possible future amenities and improvements in our village. TDC planners were also involved in the project at that time. Since then our group has been active in supporting the purchase by the TDC of the block of land between the sports grounds and Edward Baigent reserve. Our submission to this review is mainly about the future use of this land - the Wakefield Reserve. We have presented our submission as a separate document (see attachment).</p>
21684 Mr Andrew Ellis	<p>See attachment</p>
22854 Mr Gowan Simpson	<p>4. New Land Purchase:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Extend the bush area surrounding Edward Baigent Memorial Scenic Reserve to provide protection of the existing native bush area and encompass the mature Totaras already growing at both ends. b. Close off the existing internal walkway within the reserve as the old Kahikateas are prone to drop branches and could pose a health and safety risk. c. Install a new walkway around the outside of the bush and also build connecting walkways to join other reserves as already outlined. d. NO CAMPING at all on any of the new land. Camping should be allowed at Wai-iti Recreation reserve only – its status should be changed to reflect this. e. Name the new reserve “Glen-iti” f. Change the classification to Recreation and Scenic Reserve to encompass the existing recreation areas and new recreation areas as well as the extension of the scenic reserve at Edward Baigent.
22879 Mrs Jennifer Amosa	<p>See document uploaded</p>
22897 Mr Rob Brown	<p>Wakefield Recreation Reserve Indicative concept plan</p> <p>I believe this plan has some good features .</p> <p>I like the idea of all vehicular access being off Pigeon Valley Rd, State Highway 6 is a limited access road with high traffic volumes and any future parking off this road should be restricted.</p> <p>I am not sure that camping in this area is a good idea but should it go ahead it must be well supervised with complete facilities including toilets, showers, laundry facilities internet and some powered sites. These should have a small charge, basic for overnight</p>

stay and coin in the slot for others. These facilities could be provided at little extra cost by combining them with a complete community facility building. I am given to understand that such a facility is provided in Blenheim as a commercial operation.

Should a camping ground be provided then it should be separated by playing fields from the scenic reserve. The scenic reserve needs more protection from wind which blows through the exposed edges and dries out the soil. I suggest an area around the existing reserve incorporating the mature totara stand to the north of the existing reserve be planted in suitable ecosourced natives.

The trees in the reserve are not in a healthy state with very little if any natural regeneration. The exclusion of the public from the inner reserve and the completion of the path around the outside may assist in allowing some natural revegetation.

The area of amenity planting as suggested along state Highway 6 should be in ecosourced New Zealand natives and not exotics as seems to be suggested. It should be wide enough to be self sustaining.
