

Notice is given that an ordinary meeting of the Golden Bay Community Board will be held on:

Date: **Tuesday 9 March 2021**
Time: **9.30am**
Meeting Room: **Collingwood Fire Station, Elizabeth**
Venue: **Street, Collingwood**

Golden Bay Community Board

AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson	A Langford
Deputy Chairperson	G Knowles
Members	D Gowland
	A Grant
	Cr C Butler
	Cr C Hill

(Quorum 3 members)

Contact Telephone: 03 525 0054
Email: jess.mcalinden@tasman.govt.nz
Website: www.tasman.govt.nz

AGENDA

1 KARAKIA, OPENING, WELCOME

2 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Recommendation

That apologies be accepted.

3 PUBLIC FORUM

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

That the minutes of the Golden Bay Community Board meeting held on Tuesday, 16 February 2021, be confirmed as a true and correct record of the meeting.

6 PRESENTATIONS

6.1 Waka Kotahi - New Zealand Transport Agency..... 5

7 REPORTS

7.1 Chairs Report..... 7

7.2 Action Sheet 19

8 CORRESPONDENCE

8.1 Correspondence Report..... 27

9 CONFIDENTIAL SESSION

Nil

10 CLOSING, KARAKIA

6 PRESENTATIONS

6.1 WAKA KOTAHI - NEW ZEALAND TRANSPORT AGENCY

Information Only - No Decision Required

Report To: Golden Bay Community Board
Meeting Date: 9 March 2021
Report Author: Jess McAlinden, Team Leader Customer Services
Report Number:

PRESENTATION

Andrew James from Waka Kotahi will make a presentation to the Golden Bay Community Board on Tākaka Hill repairs.

Appendices

Nil

7 REPORTS

7.1 CHAIRS REPORT

Decision Required

Report To: Golden Bay Community Board

Meeting Date: 9 March 2021

Report Author: Abbie Langford, Chair

Report Number: RGBCB21-03-1

1 Summary

1.1 The Chair's report is included in the agenda.

2 Draft Resolution

That the Golden Bay Community Board receives the Chairs Report RGBCB21-03-1

3 Public Forum

3.1 The Board will discuss items raised in Public Forum.

4 Removal of Board Member Update from future Chair's reports.

4.1 Chair Langford wishes to remind the Board of the importance of requesting items be added to the agenda via the Chair's report if they wish to discuss the topic at a meeting.

4.2 We have been made aware this long standing item is in contradiction to our Standing Orders.

4.3 As such, the section of "Board Member updates" will no longer be included in future Chair reports.

5 Pakawau Rock Wall

5.1 Mr Bush-King to advise the Board and Pakawau Community Residents Association on any updates and progress around the Pakawau sea wall.

6. Community Development Operations Update Report for Golden Bay

6.1 The Community Development Operations Update Report is attached to this report.

7. Amendment to minutes recorded.

7.1 Cr Butler has requested an amendment to the minutes recorded at the 11 February 2020 meeting relating to the recording of a vote on Freedom Camping, which were confirmed at the 10 March 2020 meeting.

7.2 Under Section 23 of the Golden Bay Community Board Standing Orders, a member may move for a revocation of a decision. The resolutions in question are attached to this report.

7.3 Under Section 27.3, the member may then ask for the minutes to be amended.

7.4 To amend the approved minutes the Board must:

- i) Move a revocation of the 10 March 2020 decision to accept the minutes from 10 February 2020 (Resolution 20-03-3)
- ii) Amend the minutes recorded. (Resolution GBCB20-02-4)
- iii) Pass a new resolution to accept the updated minutes.

8. Long Term Plan

8.1 Long Term Plan - a workshop is required should the Board wish to submit on the LTP.

8.2 Public Consultation is planned for 4 March - 6 April 2021.

- 8.3 Hearings will take place and I expect a consultation timetable will be released shortly. The Council is working to adopt the Long Term Plan 2021 - 2031 by 30 June to enable the striking of rates in the next financial year.

9. Festivals and Events

- 9.1 Council Planning Staff have concluded that festivals/events are permitted activities under the temporary activities rule, as long as the noise limits and any other relevant standards are met within the time periods given in the TRMP.
- 9.2 Council Compliance staff monitor events periodically and those found to be non-compliant will require resource consent for subsequent events, which would need to include a noise management plan/mitigation and prove that the noise limits can be met as specified in the rule.
- 9.3 Board member Knowles would like to see these events regulated by way of a resource consent.
- 9.4 This would require a change to the Tasman Resource Management Plan and depending on how any rule was constructed, could have an impact on all festivals and events in Golden Bay including fundraisers, the annual A&P Show, alongside any other large community events.
- 9.5 The Board would need to consider very carefully whether it would like to ask for a bylaw review.
- 9.6 The Board would need to consider very carefully whether it would like to ask for a change but the review of the TRMP may be an opportunity to provide comment and feedback.

10. Melia Tree in Commercial Street

- 10.1 The Chair has received correspondence from Noel Baigent relating to a Melia Tree on Commercial Street which he has identified as a safety concern.
- 10.2 Images of the tree are attached to this report.
- 10.3 Mr Baigent raised the following issues:
- 1.1.1. The roots of the tree are forcing the concrete up causing a trip hazard.
 - 1.1.2. The major branch on the right hand side [looking from the street] is rotten - especially the centre of the branch.
 - 1.1.3. The protruding angle of the major right hand branch is such that someone could well get a head injury by walking underneath/into it.
- Mr Baigent requested the Board ask for the right hand limb of the Melia tree to be removed, (the northernmost branch on the Museum side).

Attachments

1. [Community Development Operations Update Report 18/02/2021](#)

11

Item 7.1

- 2. [↓](#) Resolutions from GBCB 20-02-11 & 20-03-10 15
- 3. [↓](#) Melia Tree on Commercial Street 17

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OPERATIONS UPDATE REPORT – 18 FEBRUARY 2021

1. Summary

This report provides the Golden Bay Community Board with an update on some of the key highlights of the Community Development Department's operational work on our approved work programmes since our last report at the December 2020 Operations Committee meeting. This report covers the work undertaken by the Reserves and Facilities and Libraries sections of the Community Development Department.

2 Libraries Update – Glennis Coote

Events and programmes

- 2.1 During the summer holidays we ran a number of events, including music shows, creative art spaces, techy time and sustainable fashion workshops. Due to wet weather, Motueka Library's Picnic in the Park event moved indoors and an audience of more than 75 people enjoyed music and games inside the library. Our summer reading programme, the Summer Reading Roadtrip, received some wonderful feedback from children and parents including "reading is very fun for me it's better than TV and devices"; "a wonderful programme that has helped encourage our avid reader. The staff have been amazing and encouraging" and "reading brings out the confidence in me and is very calming".

New Zealand Libraries Partnership Programme Funding

- 5.6 Last year the Government announced the New Zealand Libraries Partnership Programme. Councils across the country could apply for funding to help the community recover from the impacts of Covid-19. We have received funding for two fixed-term roles, a Digital Learning and Digitisation Specialist and a Community Engagement and Outreach Specialist. The new staff are based at the Richmond Library and they will deliver programmes across the District.
- 5.7 Our Digital Learning and Digitisation Specialist will expand on the work we already do to support digital inclusion, and digital literacy; supporting and assisting job seekers and learners; content creation and curation of online New Zealand resources.
- 5.8 Our Community Engagement and Outreach Specialist will expand on the work we already do in the areas of support for job seekers and learners; literacy support and programming and outreach initiatives that support community development and community wellbeing.

3 Reserves and Facilities Update – Richard Hollier

Capital Programme Update

- 3.1 The table below contains the key projects and activities that occurred in Council's reserves and facilities since the last Operations Committee meeting in December 2020.

Item 7.1

Attachment 1

PROJECT ID Number (as relevant)	PROJECT NAME Name of project	WORK DESCRIPTION Brief description of scope of work	STATUS Colour code Green – on track Yellow – slightly off track Red – off track cost concerns	COMPLETION DATE What phase is project in? – date of anticipated completion	PROJECT BUDGET Total budget approved (\$000)	PROJECT COST Total project cost (forecast) (\$000)	COMMENTS General Comments
Golden Bay Ward							
Halls	Bainham Hall	Water tank, pump & boiler	On Track	Phase: Complete Complete: Feb 21	5.700	5.700	Project completed on budget Oct 2020.
Halls	Collingwood Hall	Interior painting - toilets & library	On Track	Phase: Complete Complete: Apr 21	14.500	14.500	Project completed on budget Oct 2020.
Halls	Golden Bay Community Centre	Interior painting - foyer, toilets and reception	On Track	Phase: Complete Complete: Feb 21	14.500	14.500	Completed on budget Jan 2021.
Halls	Kotinga Hall	Carpark extension & entrance improvement	On Track	Phase: Construct Complete: Jun 21	9.000	9.000	Carpark work complete, entrance still to be undertaken.
Halls	Pakawau Hall	Interior Painting - toilet & foyer	On Track	Phase: Awarded Complete: Apr 21	15.900	15.900	Work to be completed by Apr 2021
ResFac	Coastcare	Coastal protection works	On Track	Phase: Construction Complete: Jun 21	50.531	50.531	2020 planting complete, planting at Ligar Bay & Patons Rock (2 sites). Further planting Autumn 2021.
ResFac	Collingwood Cemetery	Develop new area	On Track	Phase: Complete Complete: Nov 20	5.000	5.000	Project completed on budget Oct 2020.
ResFac	Golden Bay Rec Park	Footpath & cricket block surfacing	On Track	Phase: Complete Complete: Nov 20	51.150	51.150	Project completed, on budget, Nov 2020.
ResFac	Rototai Cemetery	Install signs	On Track	Phase: Planning Complete: Jun 21	10.000	10.000	Awaiting new aerials expected to be available March 2021.

PROJECT ID Number (as relevant)	PROJECT NAME Name of project	WORK DESCRIPTION Brief description of scope of work	STATUS Colour code Green – on track Yellow – slightly off track Red – off track cost concerns	COMPLETION DATE What phase is project in? – date of anticipated completion	PROJECT BUDGET Total budget approved (\$000)	PROJECT COST Total project cost (forecast) (\$000)	COMMENTS General Comments
ResFac	Ruataniwha Reserve	Develop new reserve	On Track	Phase: Design Complete: Jun 21	24.377	24.377	Site visit with contractors planned in February 2021.
ResFac	Walkways/Esplanade development	Developments at Sunbelt Cres Esplanade Reserve, Bydder Reserve & bird interpretation signs	On Track	Phase: Planning Complete: May 21	27.184	27.184	Planning underway – Sunbelt Cres Esp Res fencing planned, weed control to start once installed Feb/March 2021.
RFC Other	East Takaka Walkway	Construction of Takaka walkway - Community project	On Track	Phase: Construction Complete: Jun 21	6.062	6.062	Work continuing with fencing completed for access across farm.
RFC Other	Small Wharf Rebuild	Wharf restoration - Community project	On Track	Phase: Planning Complete: Jun 21	40.000	40.000	Awaiting formation of Community Trust
RFC Other	Takaka Drama Society	Roof replacement - Community project	On Track	Phase: Complete Complete: Sep 20	13.100	13.100	Work complete Sep 2020.

Item 7.1

Attachment 1

Jill Pearson

Ms Pearson spoke to her tabled notes regarding the Golden Bay Grandstand.

Jo Pomeroy

Ms Pomeroy described the current state of the intersection of Swiftsure Street and Gibbs Road, and the Gibbs Road walking track. Ms Pomeroy requested correspondence from the Board to clarify if the area would be added to a maintenance schedule and requested potholes be fixed.

Paddy Gillooly

Mr Gillooly requested regular street maintenance of the Collingwood township. Mr Gillooly said that at Freeman Access there was a patch of tar seal above the bridge with large potholes and was concerned about drivers avoiding the potholes.

Mr Gillooly sought clarification on the advertised upcoming closures of the Takaka Hill and the adverse effect on local businesses.

Sara Chapman

Ms Chapman spoke as the Golden Bay Local Controller for Civil Defence Emergency Management and confirmed a public presentation on the Alpine Fault 8 (AF8) Scenario would be held at Golden Bay High School on 7th May at 7pm.

Ms Chapman requested the Board suggest to NZTA to use existing road reserve for shared path users to transit the Dodson Road, Rec Park Centre and SH60 intersection when using the Takaka to Paines Ford pathway.

4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Nil.

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

**Moved Deputy Chair Knowles/Board Member Gowland
GBCB20-03-3**

That the minutes of the Golden Bay Community Board meeting held on Tuesday, 11 February 2020, be confirmed as a true and correct record of the meeting.

CARRIED

6 PRESENTATIONS**6.2 New Zealand Transport Agency (NZTA)**

Rebecca McMahon from BECA Consulting addressed the Board and provided an update on the NZTA Takaka Hill remedial works project.

Ms McMahon said that there would be an increase to waiting times and clarified the advertised night time closures to install road barriers. Ms McMahon said closures were scheduled to start from 23rd March to take place over 10 working nights from 7pm to 5am, with a 1am option for essential travel and supplies.

GBCB20-02-4

That the Golden Bay Community Board recommends to Council that freedom camping is banned in Golden Bay.

Cr Hill	Abstained
Cr Butler	Abstained
Langford	Against
Knowles	Against
Grant	For
Gowland	For

EQUAL

Board Member Gowland left the meeting at 11:41 am.

Moved Chair Langford/Board Member Grant

GBCB20-02-5

That the Golden Bay Community Board recommends to Council that freedom camping is banned in Golden Bay for all areas except the Motupipi Street carpark.

Cr Hill	Abstained
Cr Butler	For
Langford	For
Knowles	Against
Grant	For
Gowland	Absent

CARRIED

Moved Deputy Chair Knowles/Chair Langford

GBCB20-02-6

That the Golden Bay Community Board strongly urges that the freedom camping bylaw review be brought forward with urgency to address the negative social and environmental impacts on Golden Bay. This recommendation comes from strong community concerns.

CARRIED**3 Public Forum**

Footpath road crossings - the Board agreed that Mrs McAlinden lodge a service request to Engineering for the Hiawatha Lane footpath road crossing to be assessed. Mr Bush-King agreed to pass feedback on for the design and planning of future footpath works to Robert Deck, Transportation Engineer.

Waitapu Bridge Freedom Camping area – the Board the matter had been discussed earlier in the meeting.

FENZ update - the Board agreed to a redevelopment of the flyer. Chair Langford to bring to the next meeting for feedback.

Dogs at Tata Beach – the Board acknowledged that Adrian Humphries, Regulatory Services Manager, had responded to Ms Savage and the response was included in correspondence.

Melia Tree – Commercial Street



(image provided by Mr Baigent)



(Image taken 26/02/2021)

7.2 ACTION SHEET

Decision Required

Report To: Golden Bay Community Board
Meeting Date: 9 March 2021
Report Author: Jess McAlinden, Team Leader Customer Services
Report Number: RGBCB21-03-2

1 Summary

1.1 The Action Sheet is attached to this report.

2 Draft Resolution

That the Golden Bay Community Board receives the Action Sheet RGBCB21-03-2.

3 Attachments

1. [Action Sheet](#)

21

Action Sheet – Golden Bay Community Board

Item	Action Required	Responsibility	Completion Date/Status
Meeting Date: 9 June 2020			
Discretionary Fund	Abbie and Jess to request a report from staff to revise the limit on the Discretionary Fund Grant Applications	Abbie/Jess	15/6 - Jess and Abbie emailed Susan 17/7 – 13/10 – Ongoing 10/11 – Jess and Dennis to work on a report 8/12/ - ongoing
Meeting Date: 11 August 2020			
Community Engagement	Grant to investigate dates and location for community engagement events and report back to the board	Grant	15/9 – Ongoing 13/10 – Ongoing 10/11 – Ongoing 8/12 - ongoing
Meeting Date: 8 December 2020			
RM Event requirements	Consent requirements for ticketed events on private land	Susan	8/12 – Susan emailed
Blocked Drain	Blocked drain in Motupipi carpark	Jess	8/12 – SR Lodged
Anatori Road Boundaires	Celia requested map indicating the road boundaries at Anatori	Celia/Jess	
Playground whale	ETA for installation?	Susan	8/12 - Email update received – to start end of Jan 2021
Disability Access to Golden Bay Beaches	Abbie to contact staff around options, costs, and funding available.	Abbie	19/2 – Response from Lynne Hall: We are moving away from hard structures on beaches due to the damage that regularly occurs due to erosion and wave action. We tend to go with sand ladders that ‘float’ and are less likely to require costly repairs or replacement, but these can be quite difficult for people to navigate. When I looked to replace a damaged ramp

Item	Action Required	Responsibility	Completion Date/Status
			<p>at Pohara after Cyclone Fehi it was around 20k for steps (not full disability) and that didn't include consent costs or structural engineer involvement. That section of beach has since further eroded and if we had installed the access, it could well have sustained quite significant and costly damage. Places like Pohara would be very difficult to create ramps, although there is a concrete ramp (unauthorised – installed by locals) that allows reasonable access and there is currently reasonable access across sand at the far end of Selwyn Street. Potentially any new structure would require resource consent, building consent, and possibly iwi inclusion if in a cultural heritage zone. Apparently the access way in question to Collingwood beach was installed by locals after a flood so they could collect firewood off the beach and has never been an official beach access. However, it would be really useful to understand the level of access that is being requested as there are a number of places where access is not unreasonable ie a gentle gradient over the sand (particularly towards the road end). Any ramp or structure would lead to soft sand which would still need to be navigated, and is therefore little different to the sandy access that is currently in existence. A sand ladder type of structure would require constant monitoring, and would potentially be little more use than what is presently there. I am not closing out the option but am unsure whether it would have the desired effect, and if it did – for how long.</p>
<p>Meeting Date: 16 February 2021</p>			

Item	Action Required	Responsibility	Completion Date/Status
Motupipi Street pedestrian refuge	Seeking update from Engineering, will GBCB be consulted on developments? Abbie to confirm with Robert Deck.	Abbie	19/2 – Response from Robert Deck on hold until next financial year. Plan is to review the trail at Meihana St and based on the feedback, take what is learnt and apply it to Motupipi street.
Reilly Street	Board member Knowles asked what was happening with the pedestrian crossing and line marking	Abbie	19/2- Response from Robert Deck: No proposed pedestrian crossing only parking changes and painted footpath, currently on hold until next financial year due to budget constraints.
Taupata Point F&B Plans	GBCB are happy to support the F&B plans for Taupata Point.	Abbie	19/2 – Response from Robert Deck: Council have no objections with the proposal in principle, however we have to work through some of the detail around how this site will be managed and the most appropriate form of documentation to formalise this. I am currently working with our property team on how this agreement should be formed.
Melia Tree	Melia tree roots causing unstable ground outside GB Museum.	Abbie	10/2 – SR Lodged in Confirm 23/2 – Email forwarded to Lynne Hall.
Water suppliers	To write a draft submission, and to ask the Council on behalf of the Golden Bay Community Board, to reflect community issues in the submission.	Dennis	23/2- Dennis forwarded draft letter around GBCB members for their approval.
Bird Viewing	To draft a letter of support for Forest & Bird project at Taupata Gravel Reserve	Abbie/Jess	23/2 Letter sent to A Humphries in regulatory
Pakawau Seawall	To follow up the action list and response.	Abbie	

Item	Action Required	Responsibility	Completion Date/Status
Waitapu Bridge	To respond to Mr Cavaye and copy in the Board, to clarify the status of the rocks, and find out what the Harbourmaster advised Mr Cavaye about the TRMP rules.	Dennis	
Taupata Point	To ask NZTA to meet GBCB regarding the Taupata site before the NZTA/MkM meeting on 25 February.	Abbie	
Willow Street (Information Centre) Carpark	To submit a Service Request	Grant	
Festivals and dance parties	To invite Susan Edwards, Community Development Manager, to discuss workshop, to create a TRMP process.	Abbie	
Squash courts at the grandstand	To report back to the GBCB and address this issue in March with a formal resolution.	Cr Hill	
GBCB meeting be held at Onetahua Marae	To talk to Manawhenua and Robyn Scherer at Tasman District Council for the April or May Board meeting.	Grant	
Roading changes in Takaka	To get an update from NZTA about the Riley Street pedestrian crossing.	David	

Action Sheet	Check if actions missing from the sheet	Abbie	

8 CORRESPONDENCE

8.1 CORRESPONDENCE REPORT

Decision Required

Report To: Golden Bay Community Board
Meeting Date: 9 March 2021
Report Author: Jess McAlinden, Team Leader Customer Services
Report Number: RGBCB21-03-3

1 Summary

1.1 The Correspondence items are attached to this report.

2 Draft Resolution

That the Golden Bay Community Board receives the Correspondence report RGBCB21-03-3.

3 Attachments

1.	↓	Tabled Documents 16/02/2021	29
2.	↓	Y Panfylova Streets for People	57
3.	↓	Outgoing Correspondence to A Humphries, Regulatory Manager	59
4.	↓	Outgoing Correspondence to Health Committee (Submission on Water Services Bill)	61

PAKAWAU COASTAL PROTECTION 2021

Good Morning and Gong Xi Fa Cai!

Happy Chinese New Year! I hope that all your wishes come true!

They say that the Year of the Ox is a period when hard work will be rewarded even more than usual. The year is also said to be a year when people will feel the full weight of their responsibilities.

I hope so because it is still my intent, as Chair of the Pakawau Community Residents Association, to continue to make progress with the Board and Council and to deliver positive solutions to meeting our ongoing concerns about coastal protection at Pakawau.

I am here as the solitary representative of the PCRA, David Riley and Ann Thompson who have raised issues about the impact of sand push ups at their property at 1128 Collingwood - Puponga Road wish to give their apologies and will not be present today due to the L2 Covid Alert level. However they asked me to pass on their ongoing concerns and for this to be minuted.

You will recall that the PCRA hosted the Community Board Meeting in November at Pakawau. The minuted outcomes identified that:

1. Mr Bush-King agreed to find out why the rocks placed in front of the TDC section at 1124 Collingwood Puponga road ~~having removed them~~ from the section at 1128 belonging to David Riley and Ann Thompson (which have not been recorded accurately in the November minutes) and for Council to report back to the Board.
2. Chair Langford agreed to add the Pakawau sea front to the December 2020 Chairs report.
3. Mr Bush-King advised that Council needed to receive advice on support of private structures on public land and this will be reported back when it becomes available.
4. Chair Langford agreed to request a staff update

The GBCB Action Sheet identified that

1. Mr Bush-King was to follow up on removal of rocks. Action so far has only confirmed that a beach *tidy up was arranged by Solty's with additional rocks being placed on land to the south of the road reserve.*
2. Chair Langford was to request a staff update on private structures on public land

When I again addressed PCRA concerns to the Board in December, I voiced my frustration at the lack of progress and I requested a prompt course of action from Council to enable the self-funded coastal protection on the Pakawau Esplanade reserve.

Mr Laurie Jarrett sought Board support to ensure the Pakawau Esplanade Reserve continues to be protected by Council in accordance with the management plan.

He stated it was a council requirement to protect the reserve from coastal erosion.

I note from the minutes that Cr Butler had advised that Council is developing a draft policy on Coastal Management which is being workshopped with Councillors.

Cr Hill spoke about time periods required to enact policy change, including time for consultation periods for community feedback and engagement, stating there is movement, but it doesn't happen quickly.

Ms Edwards confirmed the policy would apply to Coastal Management across the district.

However the minutes reflect that no further action had been taken to address the outstanding actions raised from the November meeting and that no subsequent action was needed to be in respect of concerns raised at the December meeting.

What is more disappointing is that there is no reference whatsoever in today's agenda at Item 7.4 *Action Sheet* on Page 27 to admit that there are any actions, outstanding or otherwise, in respect of concerns raised by the PCRA in respect of coastal protection at Pakawau.

I wish to remind the Board that there are indeed a number of outstanding actions.

I submit the need for appropriate action to be taken today to acknowledge and accept these are real concerns, to register these issues need to be actioned and to accurately identify the necessary courses of action to take that will lead to stated timely outcomes.

I am not satisfied that appropriate action has been taken to ensure:

1. That the proposal to self-fund protection is under consideration.
2. That the Chair has actually added the Pakawau Sea Wall to the December 2020 report and the Board supports our concerns.
3. That the TDC staff will provide an update on private structures on public land or engage in any positive dialogue.
4. That Council is prepared to protect and preserve the existing Pakawau Esplanade reserve other than with ineffective sand push-ups
5. That the Board or the Council are interested at all in taking decisive action to deliver effective coastal protection at Pakawau
6. That the Council is prepared to identify why rocks were removed from private property and used to protect council property

The PCRA requests and encourages the Board to take formal action to deliver pragmatic solutions.

Please work hard and feel the full weight of your responsibilities. It is the Year of the Ox. I am sure your efforts will see us all rewarded. Please take action on the six points I have raised.

Please be inspired by what your actions can achieve. I invite you to take note of what the Council message seems to be saying in their monthly Newline publication.

In Issue 489 the TDC are '*looking to better prepare our communities for the effects of ongoing changes to weather patterns and rising sea levels*'.

Our expectation is decisive action as the TDC states that it is '*working to involve communities in planning how best to respond*' and will '*identify issues and options for coastal management and ways to improve community resilience*'.

Please understand that the PCRA have identified solutions and looks forward to successful and decisive action from the Council and the Board

Please understand that the PCRA expects a response from the Council and to work towards direct action to initiate effective coastal protection solutions for all Pakawau properties

May I also conclude that I cannot think of a better Quick Win opportunity for the Board and the Council to execute its intent to deliver on Climate Change.

Now is the chance to take immediate positive direct action and use Pakawau as a national example to demonstrate that pragmatic coastal protection of private property is possible through constructive consultation and the simple application of common sense.

Jai PCRA



Nigel Lloyd OBE

Chairman Pakawau Community Residents Association

16 Feb 21

**Submission to TDC on the draft Tasman Environmental Plan
By**

GB Marine Restoration Group

We are a recently formed, small group of local people who have a desire to help Golden Bay marine waters return to maintaining sustainable fish stocks and provide protection of other marine life in general. If we can look after the marine habitat and the environment, the fish will be there forever for our tamariki and mokopuna. A healthy marine environment is in everyone's best interests, and protecting biodiversity is a stated objective of TDC in its environmental policy planning. We strongly support this goal and have specific proposals for immediate action to advance this.

The marine area eastward from Farewell Spit and across Tasman Bay is characterised by a relatively shallow (less than 70 m depth) gently undulating sea floor. (Rattenbury et al. 1998). Both Tasman Bay and Golden Bay are important as spawning grounds for a variety of pelagic and shellfish species. These bays have suffered serious decline in both biodiversity and fish stocks, as a direct result of human activities, both marine and terrestrial, that over a number of years have degraded this marine environment substantially, even to the point of ecosystem collapse. Notable examples are the almost complete elimination of scallops, and the serious depletion of blue cod and other valued kai-moana species. We want to see this historically highly valued marine inshore region, once richly diverse in species populations, and an important source of seafood, restored and strenuous efforts made to improve the health of the whole marine environment.

We are currently in conversation with marine biologists with research interests in this area, to help identify particular features that require special treatment. A logical first step for TDC would be to commission the production of an inventory that identifies the significant marine communities, ecosystems, and natural features that require particular protection and enhancement measures throughout its coastal territory. It is difficult to produce appropriate planning policy and develop subsequent actions, if there is little to no awareness of what is already there. Marlborough District Council has provided a good example of what can be done here through their report *Ecologically Significant Marine Sites Inventory 2011* which 'identified 129 individual sites that collectively support rare or special features, habitats and marine life'. Evidence that this is not simply an empty reporting exercise is that MDC 'has developed a protocol for members of the public to nominate new ecologically significant marine sites', and 'Council is monitoring some of the sites to understand how they are faring, and also surveying areas that we know are important but need more information on'. We are unaware of any comparable action by TDC.

*** We urge TDC to make compiling a similar significant marine sites inventory for the coastal areas under its jurisdiction a priority to guide future planning.**

Our group presently has a particular focus on the area centred on Separation point, as this is an area that is a favourite target of recreational fishers, and recognised for its importance as an ecosystem with several species not found significantly in any other area in the region. This is acknowledged in regulations already in place to protect the benthos from commercial trawling activity. Separation point has a large fan-shaped area of seabed and waters above, designated as a zone that has been set aside to protect the marine environment from trawling and dredging, but presently has no restriction on recreational fishers and other boat operators anchoring and causing disruption and damage to the bryozoan coral formations present on the sea floor.

This is a very important area to the recruitment of popular marine species important to customary and recreational fishers, and deserves greater protected status. A marine reserve has been established around Tonga Island on the western coast of Tasman Bay, and as has universally occurred elsewhere around our coast, is already enhancing marine biodiversity and growth of marine species populations. We are not interested in promoting a 'no take' marine reserve in the Separation Point area which would require lengthy consultation and multiple stakeholder engagement, and believe that there are other measures with wider acceptability that can more easily and quickly be implemented to increase populations and protect biodiversity in this area. These are outlined below.

The Separation Point benthos and large parts of adjacent scallop beds have been substantially damaged from industrial fishing and may never be able to naturally recover by themselves. The damage to the rhodoliths and bryozoan coral formations has been substantial and there is urgent need for complete cessation of any further disturbance to the seabed in this vulnerable, fragile area. Of equal concern and impact is the sedimentation from land run-off. Most parts of the Separation Point seabed locality, and indeed much of the inshore seabed of Golden Bay has been smothered by mud. The geology of this immediate area is dominated by Separation Point granites which are degraded and highly erodible. Combined with the ill-informed clearance of forest cover on the steep slopes to the west of Abel Tasman Point and into the catchments of the Takaka and Aorere rivers, it has resulted in an ongoing problem of erosion of soils that end up cloaking the seafloor in Golden Bay with fine silt. Addressing this problem will require well-informed strategies for land management based on scientific consensus, and management plans and regulations that consciously acknowledge and attack this issue. We consider that TDC has a pivotal role in regulating coastal terrestrial activities involving land disturbance that results in run off of sediment.

*** We want to see greater regulatory control of such land-based activities, particularly vegetation clearance, to minimise sediment deposition in the coastal marine area.**

An important reason for focussing on the Separation Pt area for our group is that as it is already a 'no trawl and dredge' zone, promoting the same area as a 'no-anchor zone' will not impinge on the existing rights and legislation that surrounds the quota management system. A related advantage of working within this area is that there should be no opposition from fishing corporations who are already excluded, and we already have expressed support from mana whenua. We have no desire or interest in promoting fisheries management which is the responsibility of MPI. However, as the territorial authority with responsibility for this area, we consider that TDC most definitely is a stakeholder and has the authority and capacity through its Tasman Environment Plan process to influence wider management of activities that directly or indirectly impact on this marine environment, and to mitigate the documented negative impacts through good policy and subsequent actions.

Proposals for Actions by our Group

1. We believe in and are committed to effective immediate action, and accordingly are working towards promoting a 'no anchor zone' in this Separation Pt restricted area, using signs at boat ramps, postings in social media, local radio air time and existing social and recreational networks to educate recreational boaties and promulgate this goal. We would value TDC joining us in this first step by using its resources to promote this initiative. Suitable signage at nearby boat launching locations is an obvious and low-cost action, and importantly if placed by TDC carries the power of the territorial authority with jurisdiction over this area.

- If more formal gazetting of this status for the area is required, we seek TDC's support in achieving this.

2. Given that some of the most important sites for sustaining fish populations and marine biodiversity are habitats created by living organisms, we are keen to see active work done to stimulate this natural process. One well established method is to provide the solid substrate for colonisation by marine sessile organisms that in turn attract other mobile species. Artificial reefs can dramatically transform previously barren or species impoverished areas into thriving marine communities. These biogenic or living structures provide food and hiding places for marine invertebrates and small fish which, in turn, support abundant larger fish stocks.

We are exploring the possibilities for locating artificial 'reef balls' at appropriate sites and seeding of existing beds within the zoned area at Separation Point to encourage this habitat restoration. There are particular challenges to be addressed such as ensuring that such artificial reefs are not in competition with other benthic communities and also are sited so that they are above the suspended sediment layer on the sea floor. We are seeking input and guidance from marine biologists for this proposal.

3. As a group, we are keen to explore the possibilities for utilising this marine restoration approach in Golden Bay. Ideally we would like to involve GB High School students who are participants in their recently established aquaculture programme, which would provide opportunity for longer term monitoring of such communities. We envisage this activity could enhance wider knowledge and appreciation of the importance of our marine environment, and encourage community engagement and support. Discussions with involved and affected parties are ongoing, and where required we will seek support from TDC.

Further goals and practical proposals will be dependent on support we receive for the above initiatives.

It is easy to ignore what lies beneath the waves. For too long we have treated our marine waters as a limitless resource. Sadly this has been forcefully shown to be a false and damaging attitude. We hope to see TDC show commitment to protection and enhancement of our marine environment for its own intrinsic qualities and value, and not simply for any economic gains.

Reference

Rattenbury M.S., Cooper R.A., Johnston M.R. 1998. Geology of the Nelson Area. GNS Science, Lower Hutt NZ.



what is a reef ball?

a reef ball is a designed artificial reef module which mimics the structure and function of a natural reef...

ue 1 Dec

Not Secure - rbfdevsite.com


4G 100


de with special concrete additives Reef Ball concrete, with a pH
 ar to sea water, assures compatibility with marine environments
 enhances its attractiveness to colonizing organisms.



Designed reefs function better when they mimic nature. Natural reefs vary and Reef Balls vary in size from 1 foot to 5 feet in height. The number and placement of holes can be altered for each unit to create a variety similar to that found in nature and surface textures enhance marine life settlement.

Low interior spaces provide ideal habitat and shelter for a variety of species. Advanced coral transplantation techniques allow the addition of coral plugs for quick initiation of growth and rescue and propagation of hard and soft corals damaged by storms, dredging, etc.








Item 8.1

Attachment 1



Reef Balls and Eternal Reefs Create New ...
emeraldcoastmagazine.com



Publicazione 4
reefballitalia.it

13:50 Tue 1 Sep


X Top 20 .xlsx

4G 87%



Pupuri Taonga Limited Total
Sanford Limited Total
Talley's Group Management Limited Total
Independent Fisheries Holdings Limited Total
Vela Quota Number One Limited Total
Ngai Tahu Fisheries Settlement Limited Total
KPF Investments Limited Total
Pelco Quota Holdings Limited Total
Aotearoa Fisheries Limited Total
Solander Developments Limited Total
Ngapuhi Asset Holding Company Limited Total
Ceebay Holdings Limited Total
Kahungunu Asset Holding Company Limited Total
Ngati Porou Seafoods Limited Total
Te Ohu Kai Moana Trustee Limited Total
Maruha (N.Z.) Corporation Limited Total
Westfleet Seafoods Limited Total
Tainui Group Holdings Limited Total
ITQ Management Limited Total





Maroon: Weed Control adjacent to Kahurangi National Park (KNP) in MU-B & C2
 Indigo: Wilding Pine control along boundaries of KNP and Abel Tasman National Park in multiple MUs
 Red: Trapping Halo around The Grove Scenic Reserve in MU-D2
 Orange: Weed Control from Wainui to periphery of Pohara in MU-D3, D4 and D5

Management Units table

The list of management units used by the PDVET management committee and staff to plan and implement weed control work. PDVET regularly supplies to the local iwi, Manawhenua ki Mohua, information on the locations of sites where its teams are carrying out assessments and/or control work. Sites of cultural significance are identified, and appropriate care is taken when working in or around these sites or if taonga are visible.

Project De-Vine Environmental Trust's Project Management Units

Management Units and Sub-Units	Description
MU-A	West coast of Golden Bay
MU-B	Aorere valley to Farewell Spit. To the east up to the boundary of MU-C2 at Milnthorpe Reserve.
MU-C1	Expanding west from MU-D6 and MU-E at the Takaka River as far as "The Lookout", west of Puramahoi and east of Onekaka. Includes the Pupu valley.
MU-C2	From the "The Lookout" expanding west to reach MU-B. This includes the settlements of Onekaka, Parapara and Milnthorpe reserve, which is the boundary.
MU-D1 to D6	A polygon area enclosed between Wainui, Rameka Creek, Paines Ford and along the banks of the Takaka River to Soper's Hill. The numbers 1 to 6 reflect the first funding rounds that PDVET applied for and then added in other MU's.
MU-D1	Upper side of Rocklands Road and Upper Rocklands Road (16 Properties)
MU-D2	Lower side of Rocklands Road plus Clifton Road and to Rameka Creek
MU-D3	Pohara - connecting with D2 and D4 boundaries
MU-D4	Ligar Bay and Tata to Wainui Hill ridge line
MU-D5	Wainui and higher lands to ATNP accessed via Birds Clearing Road
MU-D6	Takaka Valley adjoining D1 to 3, C and E
MU-E	Anatoki and Waingaro catchments down to Paines Ford
MU-F	East Takaka from East Takaka Road to ATNP boundaries and Rameka Creek to Iron Creek
MU-G1	Middle Takaka valley from Central Takaka Road to Lindsays Bridge - adjoining MU-E, MU-F and MU-G2
MU-G2	From Lindsays Bridge to Upper Takaka to the top of Takaka Hill on SH60 - adjoining MU-E, MU-F and MU-H2
MU-H1	From the MU-H2 boundary on the uphill side (western). To the ATNP boundary to the north. It includes properties on both sides of SH60, from below the Henderson farm, that are sandwiched between the ATNP and the Riwaka River and down to the coast. This includes properties accessed off the Riwaka Valley Road and the roads to Kaiteriteri and Marahau as far as the ATNP boundary and the coast.
MU-H2	Polygon enclosed by the top of Takaka Hill on SH60 with properties on both sides as far down the Riwaka side of the road as the Henderson farm, that are sandwiched between the ATNP and the Riwaka River. This includes the Canaan Road area.
MU-I	Riwaka valley, from the ridge to the west, down to the west bank of Riwaka River to SH60 at lower end and bounded by KNP at the top of the valley
MU-J	Remaining areas under Tasman District and Nelson City Councils biosecurity control



Adrian Humphries
Regulatory Services Manager,
Environmental and Planning,
Tasman District Council
Adrian.Humphries@tasman.govt.nz

Dear Adrian,

Letter of Support for proposed restoration works at the Taupata Reserve

The Department of Conservation has been approached by the local branch Forest and Bird for support regarding proposed restoration plantings at the Taupata reserve to minimise shorebird disturbance. The Department of Conservation Takaka is supportive of any mechanisms that can be put in place to reduce impacts to wildlife specifically shore birds.

The Department would support the proposal as outlined to us to where native plantings are used to screen the birds from human activity creating disturbance.

This will help protect the significant populations of roosting shorebirds in this section of coastline.

The department is amenable to provide further information in support of this objective. We can be contacted by Email: alamason@doc.govt.nz, or phone 0272035168.

Yours sincerely


Andrew Lamason
Community Ranger, Takaka


Department of Conservation *Te Papa Atawhai*
Takaka Office
PO Box 166 Takaka 7142
www.doc.govt.nz



This sign uses a parking metaphor to clearly signal the area designated for birds. Because the location is 20 minutes walk from the nearest bar and clearly shows a bird sleeping we think it will balance being authoritative and friendly.

However, it could be more informative.












LocalMaps Print



February 9, 2021

- Road Boundaries
- Road Name Label
- Address



Cadastral sourced from Land Information New Zealand
 data. Crown copyright reserved. Contact Tasman
 District Council for more information.







9)

Jess McAlinden

From: Yulia Panfylova
Sent: Friday, 19 February 2021 4:00 pm
To: Jess McAlinden
Cc: Abbie Langford
Subject: Streets for people update For Golden Bay Community board

Tēnā koutou,

The first stage of construction is completed. The street light was moved and the kerb at Meihana/Commercial Street realigned. The raised crossing was installed and is now operating. On Monday contractors will be on site adjusting the road marking. The start of work has been delayed as we were waiting on delivery of the components for the temporary crossing from overseas. However, like many other supply chains, this has been severely impacted by the global effects of the Covid-19 pandemic. As our supplier couldn't guarantee delivery before April or May this year, we took another approach to ensure the project gets underway as early as possible in the first school term. The raised crossing was built with asphaltic concrete (A/C). While they might look more permanent, they are actually easy to scrape off and remove once the trial is finished, or at any time if it's decided they're not working well. There is also little difference in the cost involved. The equipment already in transit will be used for other projects once it arrives.

So far we have received positive feedback from the GBHS and students.

Next stage is installation of the speed humps on Rototai Road and trial closure of the link road between Meihana and Commercial Street using planter boxes that will happen in mid-March. Street furniture and other improvements will follow the construction work.

Yulia Panfylova | Community Development
Community Partnerships Officer
Extension 803 | DDI +64 3 543 8403



Golden Bay Community Board

tasman district council

23 February 2021

Adrian Humphries
Regulatory Services Manager
Tasman District Council

CC: Robert Deck, Golden Bay Roading Engineer

Forest & Bird Proposed Restoration Works at Taupata Point

Kia ora Adrian,

The Golden Bay Community Board would like to convey their support for the proposed Taupata Point restoration plan Golden Bay Forest & Bird have proposed.

The Board support the restorative planting and the installation of a bird watching hide and accompanying signage.

The Board have heard from many individuals concerned about the impact people are having on the shorebirds and the surrounding environment at Taupata Point.

The Board are confident the proposed Forest & Bird project will alleviate the adverse impacts of people whilst enabling continued observation and enjoyment of the area.

We note with gratitude that this proposed project would be fully funded by Forest & Bird.

I would also like to convey my appreciation and thanks to the members of Forest & Bird Golden Bay in their development of this project and their commitment to protecting the shore birds in Mohua.

Ngā mihi,

Abbie Langford
Chair

Golden Bay Community Board

Golden Bay Community Board
C/- Tasman District Council
PO Box 74
Takaka 7142

Chair Abbie Langford: abbie.langford22@gmail.com
Secretary Jess McAlinden: jess.mcalinden@tasman.govt.nz

abbie.langford22@gmail.com

Phone: 0276240680

23 February 2021

Committee Secretariat
Health Committee
Parliament Buildings
Wellington

Dear Committee

RE: Water Services Bill

The Golden Bay Community Board represents the community of Golden Bay within the Tasman District. We have received representations from members of our community about the Water Services Bill and, after discussion, wish to make a submission on the Bill.

While we agree that drinking water should be of the highest standard possible, the compliance costs of the proposed regime have to be properly considered. We are concerned that the definition of "drinking water supplier" in section 8 is too wide and not appropriately qualified.

There are many situations in rural areas where landowners have bores or tanks which service more than one dwelling. These are situations where farmers will supply water to farm workers accommodation and we know of cases where neighbours have joined to share a water source. We also have situations where landowners share water with adjoining local halls and vice versa. Under Section 9 of the Bill all the associated infrastructure from the point of take to the tap that dispenses the water, will come under the purview of the water supplier.

Given the compliance obligations imposed on a water supplier in terms of monitoring, testing, and reporting, we consider the costs involved are disproportionate to any health risks that may arise in the situations we have described. People who have had to rely on rainwater supply or shallow bores know well how to manage these risks with first flush diverters, filters, and other treatment devices.

Unless Taumata Arowai is going to employ an army of enforcement officers, we are at a loss to understand how it will monitor compliance of non-reticulated water schemes. If there is no ability (or initially any intention) to monitor compliance, we would question whether the obligation should be imposed in the first place.

Accordingly, we strongly recommend that the Committee amend the legislation to qualify the definition of a water supplier to those situations serving more than 200 people, but to certainly exclude from the definition of water supplier those situations where less than 10 dwellings share a common water source.

Yours sincerely



Abbie Langford
Chair – Golden Bay Community Board

Tasman District Council
Email info@tasman.govt.nz
Website www.tasman.govt.nz
24 hour assistance

Richmond
189 Queen Street
Private Bag 4
Richmond 7050
New Zealand
Phone 03 543 8900
Fax 03 543 8924

Murchison
92 Sarter Street
Murchison 7007
New Zealand
Phone 03 525 1015
Fax 03 525 1012

Motueka
7 Hickmott Place
PO Box 123
Motueka 7145
New Zealand
Phone 03 528 2022
Fax 03 528 9751

Takaka
78 Commercial Street
PO Box 74
Takaka 7342
New Zealand
Phone 03 525 0520
Fax 03 525 8972