



Notice is given that an ordinary meeting of the Strategy and Policy Committee will be held on:

**Date:** Thursday 27 May 2021  
**Time:** 9.30 am  
**Meeting Room:** Tasman Council Chamber  
**Venue:** 189 Queen Street  
Richmond

---

## Strategy and Policy Committee

### AGENDA

---

#### MEMBERSHIP

<b>Chairperson</b>	Cr K Maling	
<b>Deputy Chairperson</b>	Cr C Hill	
<b>Members</b>	Mayor T King	Cr D McNamara
	Cr S Bryant	Cr D Ogilvie
	Cr C Butler	Cr T Tuffnell
	Cr M Greening	Cr A Turley
	Cr B Dowler	Cr T Walker
	Cr C Mackenzie	Cr D Wensley

(Quorum 7 members)

Contact Telephone: 03 543 8578  
Email: [tara.fifield@tasman.govt.nz](mailto: tara.fifield@tasman.govt.nz)  
Website: [www.tasman.govt.nz](http://www.tasman.govt.nz)

## AGENDA

### 1 OPENING, WELCOME

### 2 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

#### Recommendation

That apologies be accepted.

### 3 PUBLIC FORUM

### 4 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

### 5 LATE ITEMS

### 6 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

That the minutes of the Submissions Hearing (Proposals to Classify Existing Reserves located in Moutere-Waimea Ward) meeting held on Tuesday, 13 May 2021, be confirmed as a true and correct record of the meeting.

That the minutes of the Strategy and Policy Committee meeting held on Monday, 10 May 2021, be confirmed as a true and correct record of the meeting.

### 7 REPORTS OF COMMITTEE

Nil

### 8 PRESENTATIONS

8.1 (10.30 am) Homes for Wakefield Presentation ..... 3

8.2 (11.00 am) Nelson Tasman Climate Forum Presentation ..... 5

### 9 REPORTS

9.1 (9.35 am) Chair's Report..... 7

9.2 (9.40 am) Strategic Policy, Environmental Policy & Activity Planning Report ..... 9

9.3 (10.25 am) Action Sheet ..... 25

### 10 CONFIDENTIAL SESSION

Nil

## 8 PRESENTATIONS

### 0.0 HOMES FOR WAKEFIELD PRESENTATION

Information Only - No Decision Required

**Report To:** Strategy and Policy Committee  
**Meeting Date:** 27 May 2021  
**Report Author:** Tara Fifield, Executive Assistant  
**Report Number:** RSPC21-05-3

#### PRESENTATION

Sylvia Huxtable and Tony Aldridge from Homes for Wakefield will make a presentation to the Committee about a local survey that was undertaken to enquire about residents' thoughts about housing in Wakefield.

#### Appendices

Nil



## 8.2 NELSON TASMAN CLIMATE FORUM PRESENTATION

Information Only - No Decision Required

**Report To:** Strategy and Policy Committee  
**Meeting Date:** 27 May 2021  
**Report Author:** Tara Fifield, Executive Assistant  
**Report Number:** RSPC21-05-2

Item 8.2

### PRESENTATION

Joanna Santa-Barbara from the Nelson Tasman Climate Forum will make a presentation to the Committee on the Climate Action Book.

### Appendices

Nil



## 9 REPORTS

### 9.1 CHAIR'S REPORT

Information Only - No Decision Required

<b>Report To:</b>	Strategy and Policy Committee
<b>Meeting Date:</b>	27 May 2021
<b>Report Author:</b>	Kit Maling, Chair - Strategy and Policy Committee
<b>Report Number:</b>	RSPC21-05-4

#### 1 Summary

1.1 This is the Chair's monthly report of the Strategy and Policy Committee.

#### 2 Draft Resolution

**That the Strategy and Policy Committee receives the Chair's Report RSPC21-05-4**

**3 Welcome**

3.1 Welcome everyone to today's Strategy & Policy Committee meeting.

**4 Tasman Environmental Plan (TEP)**

- 4.1 We have started to make more progress in relation to our work with the staff in this area and the workload will increase over time and some of the decisions will be complex.
- 4.2 Starting on 23 May, we have the first of our meetings with residents that are interested in the outstanding natural landscapes and could own properties that are within this area. It can be a contentious issue but it is a process we need to complete as part of the direction we get from Central Government.

**5 Volunteers in our community**

5.1 I recently made some comments in Newsline about the volunteers in our community. It never ceases to amaze me the dedication and commitment that people make in so many different aspects in our community to make this a better place to live. I take my hat off to them – you know who you are and thank you for your work. It makes our District a better place to live.

**6 Attachments**

Nil



**9.4 STRATEGIC POLICY, ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY & ACTIVITY PLANNING REPORT****Decision Required**

<b>Report To:</b>	Strategy and Policy Committee
<b>Meeting Date:</b>	27 May 2021
<b>Report Author:</b>	Jenna Neame, Acting Strategic Policy Manager; Barry Johnson, Environmental Policy Manager; Wouter Woortman, Senior Activity Planning Advisor
<b>Report Number:</b>	RSPC21-05-5

**Item 9.2****1 Summary**

- 1.1 This report provides the Committee with an update on some of the key highlights of the Community Development, Environment & Planning and Engineering Departments' strategic and environmental policy work and the activity planning work. This report covers the work undertaken by the Strategic Policy, Environmental Policy and Activity Planning sections of the three Departments.
- 1.2 The report seeks decisions on a hearing panel to hear plan change 74 - Special Housing Areas.

**2 Draft Resolution****That the Strategy and Policy Committee:**

1. receives the Strategic Policy, Environmental Policy & Activity Planning Report RSPC21-05-5; and
2. approves the authority to hear and consider submissions and to make recommendations to the Regulatory Committee or Strategy & Policy Committee on Plan Change 74 Special Housing Areas be delegated to Cr \_\_\_\_\_ (Chair) and Crs \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_;

### 3 Purpose of the Report

- 3.1 This report provides the Committee with an update on some of the key highlights of the Community Development, Environment & Planning and Engineering Departments strategic and environmental policy work and the activity planning work.

### 4 Strategic Policy Update – Jenna Neame

- 4.1 The following table contains an update of the key projects and activities that the Strategic Policy Team manage or are involved in:

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Long Term Plan (LTP) 2021-2031	Comprehensive plan of Council's activities and projects for 10 years and how Council will fund them. The LTP is reviewed every three years.	On track	Hearings took place between 4 and 7 May 2021 with 114 submitters being heard. Deliberations are taking place between 17 and 21 May 2021.  The final LTP is due to be adopted on 30 June 2021.
Draft Schedule of Fees & Charges 2021/2022	Under the Revenue and Financing Policy, Council can set fees and charges to recover some, or all costs associated with its services. Some of these fees and charges are set by statute, and others by the Council. Staff review the fees and charges annually and recommend changes, additions or deletions.	On Track	The public consultation period was concurrent with the LTP consultation, and Council received 72 submissions points from 68 submitters.  Submissions have been summarised, and staff have made recommendations in the 17 May 2021 deliberations report.  Once deliberations are concluded, and recommendations have been made, a report on the Final Schedule of Fees and Charges 2021/2022 for adoption will go to the Council meeting on 4 June 2021.

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Reserve Management Plan projects	<p>Staff are working on the two Moutere-Waimea Ward reserve projects: classification of existing reserves and review of the Reserve Management Plan (RMP). Further information about both projects (including an updated timeline) is available online at:</p> <p><a href="http://www.tasman.govt.nz/my-council/projects/moutere-waimea-reserves-project/">www.tasman.govt.nz/my-council/projects/moutere-waimea-reserves-project/</a></p>	On track	<p>Full Council is considering recommendations from the Hearing Panel on classification of reserves in Moutere-Waimea Ward at their meeting on 20 May. The last step in the classification process – publication of a notice in the New Zealand Gazette classifying the reserves – will be completed shortly.</p> <p>Full Council is also considering a report to adopt the Saxton Field Reserve Management Plan on 20 May.</p> <p>Staff are preparing an initial draft Moutere-Waimea Ward RMP. The timeline for this project has been extended to allow staff to assist with LTP consultation. However, staff are still on track to present the final plan to Council for adoption in December 2021.</p>
Tasman Climate Action Plan	<p>Council adopted the Tasman Climate Action Plan (TCAP) in September 2019. The Plan is available online at</p> <p><a href="http://www.tasman.govt.nz/link/climate-action">www.tasman.govt.nz/link/climate-action</a></p>	On track	<p>A cross-Council team is working on a number of projects to implement the TCAP. Budget has been included in the LTP to implement the TCAP over the next decade.</p> <p>Staff have engaged Toitū to assist in defining the scope and boundaries of Council's greenhouse gas emissions in early June.</p> <p>Staff have also provided an update on other TCAP initiatives to Full Council in the CEO's Update Report for 20 May 2021.</p>
Waimea Inlet Action Plan	<p>Council adopted the 'Waimea Inlet Action Plan' in March 2019. The action plan was developed to implement the 'Waimea Inlet Management Strategy 2010'. Both are available online at:</p> <p><a href="https://www.tasman.govt.nz/my-council/key-documents/more/environment-reserves-and-open-space/waimea-inlet-management-strategy/">https://www.tasman.govt.nz/my-council/key-documents/more/environment-reserves-and-open-space/waimea-inlet-management-strategy/</a></p>	On track	<p>The Waimea Inlet Coordination Group's next major task is to review both the Management Strategy and Action Plan documents. A proposal for undertaking this work will be considered at the next quarterly meeting of the Group, on 28 May. Staff anticipate that the review of both documents will be completed by June 2022.</p>
Annual Report 2020/2021	<p>Financial and performance reporting for 2020/2021, Year 3 of the Long Term Plan 2018/2028.</p>	On Track	<p>Project planning is underway. Staff are awaiting Audit NZ to confirm dates. The interim audit is occurring from 17-21 May.</p>

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Annual Residents Survey	A survey of a representative sample of residents to get feedback on Council performance	On Track	Research First is currently undertaking this survey, which started on 1 May and concludes on 31 May. The survey is being conducted by telephone from Research First's landline and cell-phone databases. They are using a mix of random and quota based sampling, to achieve a sample that is representative of Tasman's population.  Results from the survey are expected at the end of June to feed into the Annual Report.
Project Kōkiri - the Nelson Tasman Economic Response & Regeneration Action Plan	Project Kōkiri is a collaboration that NRDA is leading in partnership with Council, the Nelson Tasman Chamber of Commerce, Nelson City Council, iwi, and the regionally-based government agencies. It sets out our plan for targeted economic stimulus activity over the next 12 months to help protect and create new jobs, stimulate local spending, and attract investment into the region.	On Track	Project Kōkiri are turning their attention to the next five years. Their aim is to ensure the region has an enduring response plan in place as we continue to adapt and navigate the challenges of Covid-19. It will shift the focus to the "Recovery & Regeneration" phase as an evolution of the initial Project Kōkiri Action Plan.  In March 2021, NRDA released the Project Kōkiri 2.0 Discussion Document. The document seeks input from stakeholders across the region on the challenges, missions and mission projects people would like to see prioritised as part of the new five year strategy.
Interim Policy on Giving Consent to Fly Unmanned Aircraft over Council Land	Staff have commenced a review of this policy as part of the periodic review of Council policies.	Delayed	On hold due to more urgent LTP work.

## 5 Environmental Policy Update – Barry Johnson

### Hearing Panel –Plan Change 74 Special Housing Areas

- 5.1 Plan Change 74 Special Housing Areas (PC 74) was publicly notified on 19 December 2020. The Plan Change seeks to rezone gazetted Special Housing Areas (SHA) at Richmond West, central Richmond and Pohara to reflect the resource consents that have been granted for residential and rural residential development of these sites. The sites to be rezoned include only those sites where resource consents have been granted and the current zoning does not align with the consented residential development. Gazetted SHA sites that don't have resource consent have not been included in the plan change.
- 5.2 Proposed PC 74 was publicly notified on 19 December 2020 and received seven submissions and two further submissions. The further submissions and updated summary of

the submissions are on Council's website: [Change 74: Rezoning of Special Housing Areas | Tasman District Council](#).

- 5.3 The next process step, hearing preparation, includes drafting of a s42A staff assessment reports, appointing a hearing panel and setting a hearing date.
- 5.4 Submitter Richard English, supported by further submitter Graham Rogers, residents of Pohara, requests that Council appoint an independent Hearing Commissioner with decision-making powers to hear and make decisions on Change 74. The reason for the request is that, "wittingly or otherwise, Council has minimised public input into the plan change process by suggesting that the latter is simply a matter of a 'tidy up' and therefore a foregone conclusion. Neither suggestion is correct." (submission no. C74.4153.1) (attached).
- 5.5 In line with good practice, Council notified Iwi and all landowners about the upcoming plan change and provided them with a copy of the draft change.
- 5.6 Due to the community concerns about stormwater in Pohara, Council carried out informal consultation with Iwi, the Golden Bay and Pohara community prior to notification of the proposed change (article in Golden Bay Weekly and drop in session at Pohara Boat Club). The RMA Schedule 1 plan change process affords the public with a further opportunity to submit on and to appeal the Change 74.
- 5.7 Pohara landowner and community feedback notes that the area floods in heavy rain. Some landowners were adversely affected by the 2011 floods, hence the community concern. The concerns are entirely valid. Council's Engineering Department is in the process of obtaining resource consent to carry out stormwater works in Pohara (consent hearing was held on 11 May). In addition the resource consents granted for the Pohara SHA include requirements for comprehensive stormwater management. So, while the concerns are valid, they are out of scope of the plan change.
- 5.8 The SHA developments that give rise to Change 74 are consented and entitled to proceed whether the land is rezoned or not. The rezoning of land in accordance with consented land uses is largely to the benefit of future lot owners in that any further subdivision, building alterations or construction will be assessed in terms of an appropriate plan zoning. This is a perverse outcome of the Housing Accords and Special Housing Areas Act that gave rise to SHAs and probably reflects the rushed nature of the original legislation.
- 5.9 Unsurprisingly, navigating the various legal processes of Council's Pohara stormwater upgrade project, Pohara SHA approval process (resource consents approved in December 2019); and Plan Change 74 has caused a certain amount of confusion.
- 5.10 Public input has not been "minimised". Informal pre-notification consultation on the plan change was thorough, particularly regarding the Richmond Road site at Pohara. The RMA Schedule 1 plan change process provides opportunity to submit formally on plan change 74.
- 5.11 Council has a choice to either appoint an independent hearings commissioner or commissioners or appoint elected members. In both cases they must be accredited hearings commissioners. The hearing process is a quasi-judicial one and must be without political bias. Note under the RMA a delegated hearings panel can only make recommendations to the Council. There is no ability to delegate decision making on plan changes.
- 5.12 Given the thorough consultation that occurred and the requirements of hearings commissioners to consider the plan change on the facts before it. The recommendation is to delegate the authority to hear and consider submissions and to make recommendations on the PC74 to three or more Councillors that are accredited hearings commissioners.

**Homes for Wakefield survey**

5.13 'Homes for Wakefield' was formed in early 2020 by a group of people who aim to give the Wakefield community a greater voice in the future of housing. At the end of 2020 a local survey was undertaken to enquire about residents' thoughts about housing in Wakefield. We have been provided with a summary of the results by the authors of the survey. Key conclusions drawn:

- 194 responses were received, with a fair representation across age groups;
- 60% were from families and 30% from couples with no children;
- 91% of respondents owned their own home;
- 90% of respondents placed strong importance on both having community facilities in Wakefield and strong importance on outside activities;
- 70% of respondents had some level of concern about housing issues in Wakefield and this concern increased with age of respondents;
- in terms of future housing options for Wakefield, a wide range of typologies were favoured, with the most popular being (in this order) 1-2 bedroom homes, retirement units, lifestyle properties, 3-4 bedroom homes and tiny homes. 75% of respondents 45 years and older supported 1-2 bedroom homes and retirement units;
- approximately 75% of respondents aged 18-44 supported lifestyle properties and 3-4 bedroom homes. Tiny homes had most support from those in the 45-64 age group;
- mobile home parks and multi-level homes were not popular among all age groups (only 45% were neutral or wanted mobile home parks and 38% were neutral or wanted multi-level homes); and
- 20% of responses to the survey specified homes to be 'affordable'.

5.14 The authors recommend that developers and Council should enable a greater range of housing options, particularly 1-2 bedroom homes and retirement units. They also ask that Council engages with residents on housing intensification and that opinions from all age groups should be included before development plans are made for the future of Wakefield.

**Commentary**

5.15 Based on the author's report of results, there are both differences and similarities between opinions expressed in this survey and previous Council consultations. The level of concern over 'housing issues' in Wakefield expressed in the 'Homes for Wakefield' survey is similar to recent feedback on the Tasman Environment Plan community engagement in late 2020. One of the strongest top four themes was housing – summarised as sustainable growth and safe, affordable places to live.

5.16 However the extensive consultation process carried out for the Future Development Strategy (FDS) found overwhelming support for building up, not out (intensification), with 84% of respondents in round 1 of the FDS engagement supporting intensification in and around existing centres. This was by far the most popular scenario compared with building out (expansion) and starting from scratch (new town). We had 277 respondents to the first public consultation exercise. However we have seen in previous Council surveys, such as the annual customer satisfaction survey, that when an individual is asked about where they want to live, intensification is not as popular: The 2019 Communitrak survey found 72% of

respondents preferred to live in a lifestyle block or large stand-alone house (greater than 150 m<sup>2</sup>).

- 5.17 The FDS second round of engagement did however find some support exists for multi-level homes in Wakefield – in similar small numbers to the Homes for Wakefield survey (around 22 and 30 respondents respectively).
- 5.18 It is clear from the Homes for Wakefield survey and from the FDS consultation that a range of housing typologies are supported in Wakefield, although differing for specific typologies. In adopting the 2019 Future Development Strategy and planning for a range of housing types, Council acknowledged this. For Wakefield, of the approximately 1,480 new homes that could be provided in the future growth areas of the FDS, the split by type is as follows:
- 5% intensification;
  - 83% standard residential (Pigeon Valley); and
  - 12% rural residential (Pigeon Valley).
- 5.19 Intensification of housing in Wakefield in the future, may not transpire as multi-level homes. The typology most commonly seen in Richmond’s intensification is small, attached single story units.
- 5.20 Council is currently undertaking its own housing preferences survey, joint with Nelson City Council and the survey area includes Wakefield. This will be completed in June 2021.
- 5.21 The review of the FDS commences in July 2021 and we look forward to further engagement with our communities on how we accommodate growth.

### **Freshwater Management update - Tasman District Council Implementation**

- 5.22 The following sections provide an update on the work programme to implement the Government’s Essential Freshwater initiatives. Staff are involved in a number of Regional Council Special Interest Groups (SIG) to provide input into the development of various implementation tools and learn from the expertise of other councils. An organisation wide team is slowly but surely identifying processes and practices that are either in place, or need to be in place and any future resourcing needs.

### **Te Mana O Te Wai**

- 5.23 National rollout is focusing on training of Council staff, building Māori capacity and collation of relevant resources. Locally our roll out is focusing on a Te Tau Ihu research project via the National Science Challenge involving all Te Tau Ihu iwi and the three councils. The project is in two stages. Stage 1, a combined Tasman, Marlborough and Nelson report, which is a ‘snapshot’ overview of Council’s water management approaches to date. Informing the project is an iwi led National Science Challenge research project that is designing tools to enable iwi to define Te Mana O Te Wai (TMOTW) for their rohe. Stage 2 (June to December 2021) will focus on how to implement TMOTW as defined by Te Tau Ihu in our freshwater management programmes.

### **Wetlands**

- 5.24 A final version of Guidance: “Wetlands Definitions” will be released later this month. A recent Environment Court decision declared National Environmental Standard (NES) as it applies to wetlands does not apply to the majority of coastal wetlands (Bay of Islands Maritime Park Incorporated v Northland Regional Council [2021] NZEnvC 006). Court found the NES applies “to the CMA only to the extent that they cover the area of CMA upstream of

the “river mouth” as defined in the RMA”. The Court stated that “in particular, the Freshwater NES did not apply to the general CMA, open oceans, estuaries, bays and other areas not falling within the definition of “river or connected area”. The Crown has appealed the decision. In the meantime, the legal position is the NES’s jurisdiction does not extend to the CMA other than upstream of a river mouth.

### Intensive Winter Grazing (IWG)

5.25 The intensive winter grazing regulations have been delayed and won't come into effect until 1 May 2022. During 2021 IWG is expected to noticeably improve, including a complete stop to the worst practices that impact on the environment. Effective monitoring by councils and action where necessary, is also expected during this period. This will ensure that improvements are being made and provide evidence that less contaminants are ending up in waterways. Regional councils will work with MfE to better understand the Ministers’ expectations for reporting, including the provision of progress reports. To support the regional sector to deliver, Regional SIG work will be undertaken to understand existing IWG reporting capabilities and compliance monitoring activities of each council.

5.26 The delayed regulations apply only to farms already undertaking intensive winter grazing between July 2014 and June 2019. No new or expanded intensive winter grazing activity can occur without a consent. This rule, one of the ‘intensification rules’ in the National Environmental Standards for Freshwater is unchanged.

During the delay period:

- farmers already using intensive winter grazing can continue without needing a resource consent. However, improvements in practice are expected and Council will need to monitor this;
- a farm plan module for intensive winter grazing (incorporating good management principles) will be made available to provide clear guidance on acceptable practice; and
- any existing regional plan provisions will still apply and councils will retain the ability to control this activity.

After the delay period:

- when the intensive winter grazing regulations are reinstated in May 2022, the requirement to apply for a resource consent (if permitted activity conditions cannot be met) will apply again. Farmers with existing use rights will have six months from then to apply for a resource consent.

5.27 As the freshwater farm plan system rolls out from 2022, farmers will begin to have the option to undertake winter grazing through a certified plan, as an alternative to needing a resource consent (if they still cannot meet the permitted activity conditions).

### Update on significant environmental policy projects

5.28 The following table gives a brief update on significant environmental policy work streams.

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Whole of Plan review	Review of the Tasman Regional Policy Statement and Tasman Resource Management Plan	On track – but	Community engagement ran from October to mid-December. Team



Project	Description	Status	Comments
		future unclear	is developing issues and options on plan topics. Resource Management legislation review has created uncertainty. Project timelines will need to be reviewed when further information becomes available
E-Plan	Procurement and implementation of an electronic plan to replace paper based planning documents	On hold	Placed on hold due to current uncertainty around future plans. Will be revised when more information is available
Takaka & coastal catchments water management (Takaka FLAG)	Development of a plan change to implement the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management	On Track	Draft plan change is in development. Staff are completing further analysis to aid decisions on some outstanding recommendations.
Te Waikoropupū WCO (note: not a Council process)	Application for a Water Conservation Order over Te Waikoropupū and the supporting aquifer.	In progress	Court mediation is ongoing. No hearing date yet. Anticipate hearing could be late 2021/early 2022.
Waimea Plains water quality management (Waimea FLAG)	Project to activate nutrient management plan requirements in Tasman Resource Management Plan.	On track	Working with stakeholders and past Waimea FLAG members to develop an issues and options paper.
Action for healthy waterways	Government's package of legislative reforms around management of freshwater	In progress	Working with iwi, Nelson City Council and Marlborough District Council to develop a Te Tau Ihu wide plan for implementing new NPS requirements. New policies required by NPS were inserted into TRMP on 19 December.
Coastal Hazards	Project to identify and manage coastal hazards in Tasman.	On track	Vulnerability and Risk assessment complete. Working with iwi to identify iwi values at risk.
Growth/Future Development Strategy	Ongoing work to implement the Nelson Tasman Future Development Strategy.	On track	Review of current FDS will commence 1 July 2021. Housing needs assessment and a business needs survey results expected by end of June.
Mooring management review Coastal occupation charges	Project to change the way moorings are managed and to develop policy on coastal occupation charges.	On track	Hearings completed. Hearings panel working on decision report.

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Programme of urban re-zonings arising from Special Housing Areas (SHA).	Plan change project to fix zoning anomalies that resulted from SHA gazettals.	On track	Hearing panel to be appointed this meeting. Hearing date to be set later this year.
Omnibus 2 plan change	Omnibus to tidy up a number of minor errors and anomalies in the TRMP	On track	Proposed plan change notified 19 December. Currently working with submitters ahead of going to hearing.

## 6 Activity Planning Update – Wouter Woortman

6.1 The table below provides a summary of key strategic planning projects currently in progress.

Project	Description	Status	Comments
<b>Transportation: Strategic Policy and Research</b>			
Richmond Programme Business Case (NZTA Project)	The Richmond Programme Business Case (PBC) is led by Waka Kotahi / NZTA to identify issues and develop an improvement plan to address these issues. This work is being undertaken alongside the Nelson Future Access Project (NFAP) to ensure consistency across the network.	On track	<p><b>Target completion date: late 2021</b></p> <p>Officers have developed an emerging preferred programme of works based on workshops with key stakeholder and a technical assessment.</p> <p>Officers will undertake community engagement on the preferred programme to complete the draft programme for Waka Kotahi and Council consideration.</p> <p>The final PBC (including endorsement from Waka Kotahi and Council) is expected in the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter of 2021.</p>

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Active Transport Strategy	<p>Develop an active transport strategy to guide development of our walking and cycling networks across the District.</p> <p>This will help address a key transportation issue for our District – <i>“our ageing population requires access to more diverse transportation options to ensure personal mobility is maintained”</i>.</p> <p>This work is in line with the direction that Central Government has given and with our community expectations.</p>	On track	<p><b>Target completion date: Aug 2021</b></p> <p>Staff are developing a draft document which will be presented and discussed with Council on 1 June 2021.</p> <p>The final strategy is planned to be adopted by the end of August 2021 after public consultation in July/August 2021.</p>
Public Transport Review	<p>Work with Nelson to undertake a joint review of public transport services and recommend changes for inclusion in the 2021 Regional Public Transport Plan (RPTP) for funding from NZTA.</p>	On track	<p><b>Target completion date: June 2021</b></p> <p>Staff have workshopped the principles of the plan with Full Council and the draft plan with the Regional Transport Committee (RTC).</p> <p>Public consultation and deliberations have been completed.</p> <p>The final Public Transport Plan is planned to be adopted at Full Council on 30 June 2021.</p>
Regional Boat Access Study	<p>Undertake a study to determine a location, and scope of works for a boat ramp and associated facilities within Tasman Bay.</p>	On track	<p><b>Target completion date: September 2021</b></p> <p>Staff held a hui with iwi in March 2021 and are in the process of addressing their feedback. A follow up hui to discuss revised options will be scheduled for June.</p>

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Regional Land Transport Plan	The Regional Land Transport Plan (RLTP) is a statutory document that every regional council has to undertake to be eligible for funding from the National Land Transport Plan.	On track	<p><b>Target completion date: June 2021</b></p> <p>Staff from Marlborough, Nelson, Waka Kotahi and Tasman are working to create a combined Top of the South document.</p> <p>Public consultation and deliberations have been completed.</p> <p>The RLTP will go to the RTC for recommendation to Full Council on 1 June 2021. The RLTP is planned to be adopted at Full Council on 30 June 2021.</p>
<b>Stormwater: Strategic Policy and Research</b>			
Richmond South Stormwater planning	Development of a stormwater management plan for existing and future development areas in Richmond South, including cross section designs for planned drain upgrades. Stormwater Management Plan will feed into a structure plan for the area.	On Track	<p><b>Target completion date: December 2021</b></p> <p>The model has been updated with new Lidar and updated hydrology and is now ready to be used for the development of the Richmond South Stormwater Management Plan.</p>
Motueka Catchment Management Plan (CMP)	The Motueka CMP will identify and address key issues such as flooding, water quality, stream health and effects from developments in a holistic manner, similar to the Richmond CMP.	Delayed	<p><b>Target completion date: 4<sup>th</sup> quarter 2021</b></p> <p>Progress has been delayed due to insufficient staff resources. Key staff are also required to focus on several urgent stormwater related development queries.</p> <p>The individual components of the CMP have been finalised and the digital “storymap” format has been drafted. The next step is to organise a hui and discuss the CMP with iwi.</p>
Discharge Consent	A resource consent is required for the diversion and discharge of stormwater from Council’s public stormwater networks in accordance with the provisions of the Tasman Resource Management Plan.	Delayed	Staff received confirmation from Consents department that the independent commissioner is on track to deliver a final decision by the end of May.

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Māpua, Ruby Bay and Coastal Tasman Stormwater Modelling	A stormwater model for Māpua, Ruby Bay and Coastal Tasman to identify locations that are at risk of stormwater flooding in 1% and 10% AEP events.	On track	<p><b>Target completion date: June 2022</b></p> <p>The Māpua/ Ruby Bay stormwater model is currently being used to identify and test high level solutions for future growth and key areas of concern.</p>
<b>Water: Strategic Policy and Research</b>			
Water Network Modelling	Modelling of various water supply networks.	On Track	<p><b>Target completion date: Brightwater &amp; Mapua/Ruby Bay May – June 2021</b></p> <p>Staff have engaged a consultant to develop a hydraulic model for the Brightwater network and are collating data for the model build.</p> <p>Modelling different scenarios will determine whether the Mapua/Ruby Bay Water Supply can accommodate further development.</p>
Water Safety Consultation	On 30 April 2020 Full Council agreed to consult the community on a proposal to permanently chlorinate water supplies at Upper Takaka, Hamama, Motueka, Riwaka/Kaiteriteri and Richmond.	Delayed	<p><b>Target completion date: May 2021</b></p> <p>We received 101 submissions and 10 people presented at a hearing on 27 October 2020.</p> <p>On 12 November 2020 the Deliberations Panel considered the information presented to them but deferred the decision to Full Council.</p> <p>Staff held a workshop with Councillors on 15 April and a final decision is expected at the Full Council meeting on 20 May 2021.</p> <p>If the proposal is adopted, public notices will be made to notify water supplies that are affected.</p>

Project	Description	Status	Comments
Water Services Bill	On 2 March 2020 submissions closed on the Water Services Bill. The Bill is a major legislative component of the Government's Three Waters Reform programme	Water Services Bill Submission - Completed  Further submissions ongoing.	Staff submitted a written submission on the Water Services Bill in early March. Staff intend to make further submissions on the four exposure drafts that were complementary to the Water Services Bill. This is likely to be in May-June 2021.
<b>Wastewater: Strategic Policy and Research</b>			
Wastewater Network Modelling	Modelling of Motueka network	Completed	<b>Target completion date: March 2021</b>  The four-staged wastewater modelling project has been completed. Staff and consultants recently had a close out meeting to discuss model outputs and recommendations for operations, renewals and strategic planning.
	Modelling of Waimea network	Delayed	<b>Target completion date: December 2021</b>  Staff have engaged consultants to undertake a four staged modelling project for the Waimea network. Consultants recommended additional flow data to be collected before building the model. Network monitoring, data analysis and model outputs are intended to inform the timing of specific capital works projects in the Waimea Wastewater Network Strategy.
Wastewater Strategies	Development of long-term wastewater network strategies for Motueka	Delayed	<b>Target completion date: December 2021</b>  A working group hui was held on 29 March and staff presented a revised WWTP site criteria framework based on feedback to date. Scoring and weighting of the various criteria will be discussed in the next hui. Target completion date has been delayed due to working group resourcing constraints.

**Attachments**

Nil





## 9.4 ACTION SHEET

Information Only - No Decision Required

**Report To:** Strategy and Policy Committee  
**Meeting Date:** 27 May 2021  
**Report Author:** Tara Fifield, Executive Assistant  
**Report Number:** RSPC21-05-6

### 1 Summary

1.1 The action items are attached from previous Strategy & Policy Committee meetings.

### 2 Draft Resolution

**That the Strategy and Policy Committee receives the Action Sheet RSPC21-05-6;**

### 3 Attachments

1. [↓](#) Action Sheet as at May 2021

27



## Action Sheet – Strategy & Policy Committee

Item	Action required	Responsibility	Completion Date	Status
<b>Meeting Date – 1 October 2020</b>				
Strategic Policy, Resource Policy & Other Matters Activity Report – RSPC20-10-03	Staff to write a letter for the Mayor's signature to Network Tasman advocating for them to install an EV charging station in Springs Junction as soon as possible	J Nguyen/A Gerraty	Staff will provide information in an upcoming Cr update	In progress