

Hello

My name is Grant Dewson and myself and my wife Karen are residents of 8 Brenda Lawson Way, and have lived there for over 15 years.

My submission opposed the resource consent application by Olive Estate on 4 key points.

- 1> The service entrance coming off Brenda Lawson Way
- 2> The height and location of the Care Facility building
- 3> The lack of a single greenspace of required size
- 4> The width of the Fairrose Drive extension

I am pleased to see that the proposed service entrance off Brenda Lawson Way has been removed from the latest design and that common sense has prevailed.

In regards the proposed care facility, I firmly believe that the location and height of this commercial building will result in a serious loss of residential character for all those people that live close to it and particularly the residents of Brenda Lawson Way and Fawden Way. In my opinion having a building that is 10.5m high at the highest point located on the most elevated section of the property will be a totally dominant blot on the landscape and absolutely at odds with the existing residential character of the area. Combine this with increased traffic movements of staff, visitors, service vehicles and residents and the associated noise, I believe the amenity value of this neighbourhood will be forever diminished.

With the definition of Amenity value being those natural or physical qualities and characteristics of an area that contribute to peoples appreciation of its pleasantness, aesthetic coherence and cultural and recreational attributes, I see only negative outcomes from the siting of the Care Facility building in its proposed location.

The TRMP provides for residential development in the Richmond South zone and this is what the existing residents have always expected and accepted would be built on this farm land as Richmond's population grows.

I believe that the care facility building should be built in or close to its originally consented location in the middle of the village surrounded by the villages own residences

The **lack** of a single suitably sized and located greenspace vested to council is inconsistent with councils policies and should not be permitted . If permitted this would set a dangerous precedent in regards future developments in the region . The proposed greenspaces are fragmented and will not be inviting to the public to use. To have a greenspace that for example includes a children's play ground can only have positive outcomes for Olive Estate residents who will be able to see and hear the children playing. Parks and reserves within our residential areas that are well designed, located and easily accessible to the public play a vital role in the wellbeing of the community and the continued enjoyment of our enviable outdoor lifestyle enjoyed by young and old alike.

The existing width of Fairrose Drive should be continued through to Hill St and the 2.5m footpath on either side maintained. A large number of pedestrians including myself , families with children and people walking dogs, use this area on a daily basis and I believe that the narrower carriageway and narrow footpath on one side will only discourage the public from using this road and paths therefore affecting the amenity value of the area. From my observations it is primarily the residents of Fairrose Drive that use this roadway and the comment by the applicant that the road could be used as a rat run are very unlikely.

Thankyou